

ON THE CMC FOLIATION OF FUTURE ENDS OF A SPACETIME

CLAUS GERHARDT

ABSTRACT. We consider spacetimes with compact Cauchy hypersurfaces and with Ricci tensor bounded from below on the set of timelike unit vectors, and prove that the results known for spacetimes satisfying the timelike convergence condition, namely, foliation by CMC hypersurfaces, are also valid in the present situation, if corresponding further assumptions are satisfied.

In addition we show that the volume of any sequence of spacelike hypersurfaces, which run into the future singularity, decays to zero provided there exists a time function covering a future end, such that the level hypersurfaces have non-negative mean curvature and decaying volume.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Notations and definitions	3
3. Proof of Theorem 1.1	5
4. Proof of Theorem 1.2	10
References	11

1. INTRODUCTION

Let N be a $(n + 1)$ -dimensional spacetime with a compact Cauchy hypersurface, so that N is topologically a product, $N = I \times \mathcal{S}_0$, where \mathcal{S}_0 is a compact Riemannian manifold and $I = (a, b)$ an interval. The metric in N can then be expressed in the form

$$(1.1) \quad d\bar{s}^2 = e^{2\psi}(-(dx^0)^2 + \sigma_{ij}(x^0, x)dx^i dx^j);$$

x^0 is the time function and (x^i) are local coordinates for \mathcal{S}_0 .

Date: July 27, 2006.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J60, 53C21, 53C44, 53C50, 58J05.

Key words and phrases. Lorentzian manifold, timelike incompleteness, CMC foliation, general relativity.

If N satisfies a future mean curvature barrier condition and the timelike convergence condition, then a future end $N_+ = [a_0, b)$ can be foliated by constant mean curvature (CMC) spacelike hypersurfaces and the mean curvature of the leaves can be used as a new time function, cf. [3, 6]. Moreover, one of Hawking's singularity results implies that N is future timelike incomplete with finite Lorentzian diameter for the future end.

In this paper we want to extend these results to the case when the Ricci tensor is only bounded from below on the set of timelike unit vectors

$$(1.2) \quad \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta}\nu^\alpha\nu^\beta \geq -\Lambda \quad \forall \langle \nu, \nu \rangle = -1$$

for some $\Lambda \geq 0$, and in addition, we want to show that the volume of the CMC leaves decays to zero, if the future singularity is approached.

Our results can be summarized in

1.1. Theorem. *Suppose that in a future end N_+ of N the Ricci tensor satisfies the estimate (1.2), and suppose that a future mean curvature barrier exists, cf. Definition 2.2, then a slightly smaller future end \tilde{N}_+ can be foliated by CMC spacelike hypersurfaces, and there exists a smooth time function x^0 such that the slices*

$$(1.3) \quad M_\tau = \{x^0 = \tau\}, \quad \tau_0 < \tau < \infty,$$

have mean curvature τ for some $\tau_0 > \sqrt{n\Lambda}$. The precise value of τ_0 depends on the mean curvature of a lower barrier.

1.2. Theorem. *Suppose that a future end $N_+ = [a_0, b)$ of N can be covered by a time function x^0 such that the mean curvature of the slices $M_t = \{x^0 = t\}$ is non-negative and the volume of M_t decays to zero*

$$(1.4) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow b} |M_t| = 0,$$

then the volume $|M_k|$ of any sequence of spacelike achronal¹ hypersurfaces M_k that approach b , i.e.,

$$(1.5) \quad \liminf_k \inf_{M_k} x^0 = b,$$

decays to zero. Thus, in case the additional conditions of Theorem 1.1 are also satisfied, the volume of the CMC hypersurfaces M_τ converges to zero

$$(1.6) \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} |M_\tau| = 0.$$

N is also future timelike incomplete, if there is a compact spacelike hypersurface M with mean curvature H satisfying

$$(1.7) \quad H \geq H_0 > \sqrt{n\Lambda},$$

due to a result in [1].

¹A subset $M \subset N$ is said to be achronal, if any timelike piecewise C^1 -curve intersects M at most once.

2. NOTATIONS AND DEFINITIONS

The main objective of this section is to state the equations of Gauß, Codazzi, and Weingarten for space-like hypersurfaces M in a $(n+1)$ -dimensional Lorentzian manifold N . Geometric quantities in N will be denoted by $(\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta})$, $(\bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta})$, etc., and those in M by (g_{ij}) , (R_{ijkl}) , etc. Greek indices range from 0 to n and Latin from 1 to n ; the summation convention is always used. Generic coordinate systems in N resp. M will be denoted by (x^α) resp. (ξ^i) . Covariant differentiation will simply be indicated by indices, only in case of possible ambiguity they will be preceded by a semicolon, i.e., for a function u in N , (u_α) will be the gradient and $(u_{\alpha\beta})$ the Hessian, but e.g., the covariant derivative of the curvature tensor will be abbreviated by $\bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta;\epsilon}$. We also point out that

$$(2.1) \quad \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta;i} = \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta;\epsilon} x_i^\epsilon$$

with obvious generalizations to other quantities.

Let M be a *spacelike* hypersurface, i.e., the induced metric is Riemannian, with a differentiable normal ν which is timelike.

In local coordinates, (x^α) and (ξ^i) , the geometric quantities of the spacelike hypersurface M are connected through the following equations

$$(2.2) \quad x_{ij}^\alpha = h_{ij} \nu^\alpha$$

the so-called *Gauß formula*. Here, and also in the sequel, a covariant derivative is always a *full* tensor, i.e.,

$$(2.3) \quad x_{ij}^\alpha = x_{,ij}^\alpha - \Gamma_{ij}^k x_k^\alpha + \bar{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha x_i^\beta x_j^\gamma.$$

The comma indicates ordinary partial derivatives.

In this implicit definition the *second fundamental form* (h_{ij}) is taken with respect to ν .

The second equation is the *Weingarten equation*

$$(2.4) \quad \nu_i^\alpha = h_i^k x_k^\alpha,$$

where we remember that ν_i^α is a full tensor.

Finally, we have the *Codazzi equation*

$$(2.5) \quad h_{ij;k} - h_{ik;j} = \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \nu^\alpha x_i^\beta x_j^\gamma x_k^\delta$$

and the *Gauß equation*

$$(2.6) \quad R_{ijkl} = -\{h_{ik}h_{jl} - h_{il}h_{jk}\} + \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} x_i^\alpha x_j^\beta x_k^\gamma x_l^\delta.$$

Now, let us assume that N is a globally hyperbolic Lorentzian manifold with a *compact* Cauchy surface. N is then a topological product $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}_0$, where \mathcal{S}_0 is a compact Riemannian manifold, and there exists a Gaussian coordinate system (x^α) , such that the metric in N has the form

$$(2.7) \quad d\bar{s}_N^2 = e^{2\psi} \{-dx^{0^2} + \sigma_{ij}(x^0, x) dx^i dx^j\},$$

where σ_{ij} is a Riemannian metric, ψ a function on N , and x an abbreviation for the spacelike components (x^i) , see [8], [10, p. 212], [9, p. 252], and [3, Section 6]. We also assume that the coordinate system is *future oriented*, i.e., the time coordinate x^0 increases on future directed curves. Hence, the *contravariant* timelike vector $(\xi^\alpha) = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is future directed as is its *covariant* version $(\xi_\alpha) = e^{2\psi}(-1, 0, \dots, 0)$.

Let $M = \text{graph } u|_{\mathcal{S}_0}$ be a spacelike hypersurface

$$(2.8) \quad M = \{ (x^0, x) : x^0 = u(x), x \in \mathcal{S}_0 \},$$

then the induced metric has the form

$$(2.9) \quad g_{ij} = e^{2\psi} \{-u_i u_j + \sigma_{ij}\}$$

where σ_{ij} is evaluated at (u, x) , and its inverse $(g^{ij}) = (g_{ij})^{-1}$ can be expressed as

$$(2.10) \quad g^{ij} = e^{-2\psi} \left\{ \sigma^{ij} + \frac{u^i u^j}{v} \right\},$$

where $(\sigma^{ij}) = (\sigma_{ij})^{-1}$ and

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} u^i &= \sigma^{ij} u_j \\ v^2 &= 1 - \sigma^{ij} u_i u_j \equiv 1 - |Du|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, graph u is spacelike if and only if $|Du| < 1$.

The covariant form of a normal vector of a graph looks like

$$(2.12) \quad (\nu_\alpha) = \pm v^{-1} e^\psi (1, -u_i).$$

and the contravariant version is

$$(2.13) \quad (\nu^\alpha) = \mp v^{-1} e^{-\psi} (1, u^i).$$

Thus, we have

2.1. Remark. Let M be spacelike graph in a future oriented coordinate system. Then, the contravariant future directed normal vector has the form

$$(2.14) \quad (\nu^\alpha) = v^{-1} e^{-\psi} (1, u^i)$$

and the past directed

$$(2.15) \quad (\nu^\alpha) = -v^{-1} e^{-\psi} (1, u^i).$$

In the Gauß formula (2.2) we are free to choose the future or past directed normal, but we stipulate that we always use the past directed normal for reasons that we have explained in [4, Section 2].

Look at the component $\alpha = 0$ in (2.2) and obtain in view of (2.15)

$$(2.16) \quad e^{-\psi} v^{-1} h_{ij} = -u_{ij} - \bar{\Gamma}_{00}^0 u_i u_j - \bar{\Gamma}_{0j}^0 u_i - \bar{\Gamma}_{0i}^0 u_j - \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^0.$$

Here, the covariant derivatives are taken with respect to the induced metric of M , and

$$(2.17) \quad -\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^0 = e^{-\psi} \bar{h}_{ij},$$

where (\bar{h}_{ij}) is the second fundamental form of the hypersurfaces $\{x^0 = \text{const}\}$.

An easy calculation shows

$$(2.18) \quad \bar{h}_{ij} e^{-\psi} = -\frac{1}{2} \dot{\sigma}_{ij} - \dot{\psi} \sigma_{ij},$$

where the dot indicates differentiation with respect to x^0 .

Finally, let us define what we mean by a future mean curvature barrier.

2.2. Definition. Let N be a globally hyperbolic spacetime with compact Cauchy hypersurface \mathcal{S}_0 so that N can be written as a topological product $N = \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}_0$ and its metric expressed as

$$(2.19) \quad d\bar{s}^2 = e^{2\psi} (-(dx^0)^2 + \sigma_{ij}(x^0, x) dx^i dx^j).$$

Here, x^0 is a globally defined future directed time function and (x^i) are local coordinates for \mathcal{S}_0 . N is said to have a *future mean curvature barrier* resp. *past mean curvature barrier*, if there are sequences M_k^+ resp. M_k^- of closed spacelike achronal hypersurfaces such that

$$(2.20) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} H|_{M_k^+} = \infty \quad \text{resp.} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} H|_{M_k^-} = -\infty$$

and

$$(2.21) \quad \limsup \inf_{M_k^+} x^0 > x^0(p) \quad \forall p \in N$$

resp.

$$(2.22) \quad \liminf \sup_{M_k^-} x^0 < x^0(p) \quad \forall p \in N.$$

A future mean curvature barrier certainly represents a singularity, at least if N satisfies (1.2), because of the future timelike incompleteness, but these singularities need not be crushing, cf. [7, Introduction].

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let us start with some simple but very useful observations: If, for a given coordinate system (x^α) , the metric has the form (1.1), then the coordinate slices $M(t) = \{x^0 = t\}$ can be looked at as a solution of the evolution problem

$$(3.1) \quad \dot{x} = -e^\psi \nu,$$

where $\nu = (\nu^\alpha)$ is the past directed normal vector. The embedding $x = x(t, \xi)$ is then given as $x = (t, x^i)$, where (x^i) are local coordinates for \mathcal{S}_0 .

From the equation (3.1) we can immediately derive evolution equations for the geometric quantities g_{ij}, h_{ij}, ν and $H = g^{ij} h_{ij}$ of $M(t)$, cf., e.g., [4, Section 3].

To avoid confusion with notations for the geometric quantities of other hypersurfaces, we occasionally denote the induced metric and second fundamental of coordinate slices by $\bar{g}_{ij}, \bar{h}_{ij}$ and \bar{H} . Thus, the evolution equations

$$(3.2) \quad \dot{\bar{g}}_{ij} = -2e^\psi \bar{h}_{ij}$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \dot{\bar{H}} = -\Delta e^\psi + (|\bar{A}|^2 + \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta} \nu^\alpha \nu^\beta) e^\psi$$

are valid.

The last equation is closely related to the derivative of the mean curvature operator: Let M_0 be a smooth spacelike hypersurface and consider in a tubular neighbourhood \mathcal{U} of M_0 hypersurfaces M that can be written as graphs over M_0 , $M = \text{graph } u$, in the corresponding normal Gaussian coordinate system. Then the mean curvature of M can be expressed as

$$(3.4) \quad H = -\Delta u + \bar{H} + v^{-2} u^i u^j \bar{h}_{ij},$$

cf. equation (2.16), and hence, choosing $u = \epsilon\varphi$, $\varphi \in C^2(M_0)$, we deduce

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} H|_{\epsilon=0} &= -\Delta\varphi + \dot{\bar{H}}\varphi \\ &= -\Delta\varphi + (|\bar{A}|^2 + \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta} \nu^\alpha \nu^\beta)\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Next we shall prove that CMC hypersurfaces are monotonically ordered, if the mean curvatures are sufficiently large.

3.1. Lemma. *Let $M_i = \text{graph } u_i$, $i = 1, 2$, be two spacelike hypersurfaces such that the resp. mean curvatures H_i satisfy*

$$(3.6) \quad H_1 < H_2$$

where H_2 is constant, $H_2 = \tau_2$, and

$$(3.7) \quad \sqrt{n\Lambda} < \tau_2,$$

then there holds

$$(3.8) \quad u_1 < u_2.$$

Proof. We first observe that the weaker conclusion

$$(3.9) \quad u_1 \leq u_2$$

is as good as the strict inequality in (3.8), in view of the maximum principle.

Hence, suppose that (3.9) is not valid, so that

$$(3.10) \quad E(u_1) = \{x \in \mathcal{S}_0 : u_2(x) < u_1(x)\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Then there exist points $p_i \in M_i$ such that

$$(3.11) \quad 0 < d_0 = d(M_2, M_1) = d(p_2, p_1) = \sup\{d(p, q) : (p, q) \in M_2 \times M_1\},$$

where d is the Lorentzian distance function. Let φ be a maximal geodesic from M_2 to M_1 realizing this distance with endpoints p_2 and p_1 , and parametrized by arc length.

Denote by \bar{d} the Lorentzian distance function to M_2 , i.e., for $p \in I^+(M_2)$

$$(3.12) \quad \bar{d}(p) = \sup_{q \in M_2} d(q, p).$$

Since φ is maximal, $\Gamma = \{\varphi(t) : 0 \leq t < d_0\}$ contains no focal points of M_2 , cf. [11, Theorem 34, p. 285], hence there exists an open neighbourhood $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$ such that \bar{d} is smooth in \mathcal{V} , cf. [11, Proposition 30], because \bar{d} is a component of the inverse of the normal exponential map of M_2 .

Now, M_2 is the level set $\{\bar{d} = 0\}$, and the level sets

$$(3.13) \quad M(t) = \{p \in \mathcal{V} : \bar{d}(p) = t\}$$

are smooth hypersurfaces; $x^0 = \bar{d}$ is a time function in \mathcal{V} and generates a normal Gaussian coordinate system, since $\langle D\bar{d}, D\bar{d} \rangle = -1$. Thus, the mean curvature $\bar{H}(t)$ of $M(t)$ satisfies the equation

$$(3.14) \quad \dot{\bar{H}} = |\bar{A}|^2 + \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta} \nu^\alpha \nu^\beta,$$

cf. (3.3), and therefore we have

$$(3.15) \quad \dot{\bar{H}} \geq \frac{1}{n} |\bar{H}|^2 - \Lambda > 0,$$

in view of (3.7).

Next, consider a tubular neighbourhood \mathcal{U} of M_1 with corresponding normal Gaussian coordinates (x^α) . The level sets

$$(3.16) \quad \tilde{M}(s) = \{x^0 = s\}, \quad -\epsilon < s < 0,$$

lie in the past of $M_1 = \tilde{M}(0)$ and are smooth for small ϵ .

Since the geodesic φ is normal to M_1 , it is also normal to $\tilde{M}(s)$ and the length of the geodesic segment of φ from $\tilde{M}(s)$ to M_1 is exactly $-s$, i.e., equal to the distance from $\tilde{M}(s)$ to M_1 , hence we deduce

$$(3.17) \quad d(M_2, \tilde{M}(s)) = d_0 + s,$$

i.e., $\{\varphi(t) : 0 \leq t \leq d_0 + s\}$ is also a maximal geodesic from M_2 to $\tilde{M}(s)$, and we conclude further that, for fixed s , the hypersurface $\tilde{M}(s) \cap \mathcal{V}$ is contained in the past of $M(d_0 + s)$ and touches $M(d_0 + s)$ in $p_s = \varphi(d_0 + s)$. The maximum principle then implies

$$(3.18) \quad H|_{\tilde{M}(s)}(p_s) \geq H|_{M(d_0+s)}(p_s) > \tau_2,$$

in view of (3.15).

On the other hand, the mean curvature of $\tilde{M}(s)$ converges to the mean curvature of M_1 , if s tends to zero, hence we conclude

$$(3.19) \quad H_1(\varphi(d_0)) \geq \tau_2,$$

contradicting (3.6). □

As an immediate conclusion we obtain

3.2. Corollary. *The CMC hypersurfaces with mean curvature*

$$(3.20) \quad \tau > \sqrt{n\Lambda}$$

are uniquely determined.

Proof. Let $M_i = \text{graph } u_i$, $i = 1, 2$, be two hypersurfaces with mean curvature τ and suppose, e.g., that

$$(3.21) \quad \{x \in \mathcal{S}_0 : u_1(x) < u_2(x)\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Consider a tubular neighbourhood of M_1 with a corresponding future oriented normal Gaussian coordinate system (x^α) . Then the evolution of the mean curvature of the coordinate slices satisfies

$$(3.22) \quad \dot{\bar{H}} = |\bar{A}|^2 + \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta}\nu^\alpha\nu^\beta \geq \frac{1}{n}|\bar{H}|^2 - \Lambda > 0$$

in a neighbourhood of M_1 , i.e., the coordinate slices $M(t) = \{x^0 = t\}$, with $t > 0$, have all mean curvature $\bar{H}(t) > \tau$. Using now M_1 and $M(t)$, $t > 0$, as barriers, we infer that for any $\tau' \in \mathbb{R}$, $\tau < \tau' < \bar{H}(t)$, there exists a spacelike hypersurface $M_{\tau'}$ with mean curvature τ' , such that $M_{\tau'}$ can be expressed as a graph over M_1 , $M_{\tau'} = \text{graph } u$, where

$$(3.23) \quad 0 < u < t.$$

For a proof see [3, Section 6]; a different more transparent proof of this result has been given in [5].

Writing $M_{\tau'}$ as graph over \mathcal{S}_0 in the original coordinate system without changing the notation for u , we obtain

$$(3.24) \quad u_1 < u,$$

and, by choosing t small enough, we may also conclude that

$$(3.25) \quad E(u) = \{x \in \mathcal{S}_0 : u(x) < u_2(x)\} \neq \emptyset,$$

which is impossible, in view of the preceding result. \square

3.3. Lemma. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, let $M_{\tau_0} = \text{graph } u_{\tau_0}$ be a CMC hypersurface with mean curvature $\tau_0 > \sqrt{n\Lambda}$, then the future of M_{τ_0} can be foliated by CMC hypersurfaces*

$$(3.26) \quad I^+(M_{\tau_0}) = \bigcup_{\tau_0 < \tau < \infty} M_\tau.$$

The M_τ can be written as graphs over \mathcal{S}_0

$$(3.27) \quad M_\tau = \text{graph } u(\tau, \cdot),$$

such that u is strictly monotone increasing with respect to τ , and continuous in $[\tau_0, \infty) \times \mathcal{S}_0$.

Proof. The monotonicity and continuity of u follows from Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.2, in view of the a priori estimates.

Thus, it remains to verify the relation (3.26). Let $p = (t, y^i) \in I^+(M_{\tau_0})$, then we have to show $p \in M_\tau$ for some $\tau > \tau_0$.

In [3, Theorem 6.3] it is proved that there exists a family of CMC hypersurfaces M_τ

$$(3.28) \quad \{ M_\tau : \tau_0 \leq \tau < \infty \},$$

if there is a future mean curvature barrier.

Define $u(\tau, \cdot)$ by

$$(3.29) \quad M_\tau = \text{graph } u(\tau, \cdot),$$

then we have

$$(3.30) \quad u(\tau_0, y) < t < u(\tau^*, y)$$

for some large τ^* , because of the mean curvature barrier condition, which, together with Lemma 3.1, implies that the CMC hypersurfaces run into the future singularity, if τ goes to infinity.

In view of the continuity of $u(\cdot, y)$ we conclude that there exists $\tau_1 > \tau_0$ such that

$$(3.31) \quad u(\tau_1, y) = t,$$

hence $p \in M_{\tau_1}$. □

3.4. Remark. The continuity and monotonicity of u holds in any coordinate system (x^α) , even in those that do not cover the future completely like the normal Gaussian coordinates associated with a spacelike hypersurface, which are defined in a tubular neighbourhood.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is now almost finished. The remaining arguments are identical to those in [6, Section 2], but for the convenience of the reader, we shall briefly summarize the main steps.

We have to show that the mean curvature parameter τ can be used as a time function in $\{\tau_0 < \tau < \infty\}$, i.e., τ should be smooth with a non-vanishing gradient. Both properties are local properties.

First step: Fix an arbitrary $\tau' \in (\tau_0, \infty)$, and consider a tubular neighbourhood \mathcal{U} of $M' = M_{\tau'}$. The $M_\tau \subset \mathcal{U}$ can then be written as graphs over M' , $M_\tau = \text{graph } u(\tau, \cdot)$. For small $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$(3.32) \quad M_\tau \subset \mathcal{U} \quad \forall \tau \in (\tau' - \epsilon, \tau' + \epsilon)$$

and with the help of the implicit function theorem we shall show that u is smooth. Indeed, define the operator G

$$(3.33) \quad G(\tau, \varphi) = H(\varphi) - \tau,$$

where $H(\varphi)$ is an abbreviation for the mean curvature of graph $\varphi|_{M'}$. Then G is smooth and from (3.5) we deduce that $D_2G(\tau', 0)\varphi$ equals

$$(3.34) \quad -\Delta\varphi + (\|A\|^2 + \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta}\nu^\alpha\nu^\beta)\varphi,$$

where the Laplacian, the second fundamental form and the normal correspond to M' . Hence $D_2G(\tau', 0)$ is an isomorphism and the implicit function theorem implies that u is smooth.

Second step: Still in the tubular neighbourhood of M' , define the coordinate transformation

$$(3.35) \quad \Phi(\tau, x^i) = (u(\tau, x^i), x^i);$$

note that $x^0 = u(\tau, x^i)$. Then we have

$$(3.36) \quad \det D\Phi = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} = \dot{u}.$$

\dot{u} is non-negative; if it were strictly positive, then Φ would be a diffeomorphism, and hence τ would be smooth with non-vanishing gradient. A proof, that $\dot{u} > 0$, is given in [6, Lemma 2.2], but let us give a simpler proof: The CMC hypersurfaces in \mathcal{U} satisfy an equation

$$(3.37) \quad H(u) = \tau,$$

where the left hand-side can be expressed as in (3.4). Differentiating both sides with respect to τ and evaluating for $\tau = \tau'$, i.e., on M' , where $u(\tau', \cdot) = 0$, we get

$$(3.38) \quad -\Delta\dot{u} + (\|A\|^2 + \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta}\nu^\alpha\nu^\beta)\dot{u} = 1.$$

In a point, where \dot{u} attains its minimum, the maximum principle implies

$$(3.39) \quad (\|A\|^2 + \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta}\nu^\alpha\nu^\beta)\dot{u} \geq 1,$$

hence $\dot{u} \neq 0$ and \dot{u} is therefore strictly positive.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Let x^0 be time function satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, i.e., $N_+ = \{a_0 < x^0 < b\}$, the mean curvature of the slices $M(t) = \{x^0 = t\}$ is non-negative, and

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow b} |M(t)| = 0,$$

and let M_k be a sequence of spacelike hypersurfaces such that

$$(4.2) \quad \liminf_{M_k} x^0 = b.$$

Let us write $M_k = \text{graph } u_k$ as graphs over \mathcal{S}_0 . Then

$$(4.3) \quad g_{ij} = e^{2\psi}(u_i u_j + \sigma_{ij}(u, x))$$

is the induced metric, where we dropped the index k for better readability, and the volume element of M_k has the form

$$(4.4) \quad d\mu = v \sqrt{\det(\bar{g}_{ij}(u, x))} dx,$$

where

$$(4.5) \quad v^2 = 1 - \sigma^{ij} u_i u_j < 1,$$

and $(\bar{g}_{ij}(t, \cdot))$ is the metric of the slices $M(t)$.

From (3.2) we deduce

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \sqrt{\det(\bar{g}_{ij}(t, \cdot))} = -e^\psi \bar{H} \sqrt{\det(\bar{g}_{ij})} \leq 0.$$

Now, let $a_0 < t < b$ be fixed, then for a.e. k we have

$$(4.7) \quad t < u_k$$

and hence

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} |M_k| &= \int_{\mathcal{S}_0} v \sqrt{\det(\bar{g}_{ij}(u_k, x))} dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathcal{S}_0} \sqrt{\det(\bar{g}_{ij}(t, x))} dx = |M(t)|, \end{aligned}$$

in view of (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7), and we conclude

$$(4.9) \quad \limsup |M_k| \leq |M(t)| \quad \forall a_0 < t < b,$$

and thus

$$(4.10) \quad \lim |M_k| = 0.$$

REFERENCES

1. Lars Andersson and Gregory Galloway, *Ds/cft and spacetime topology*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **68** (2003), 307–327, 17 pages, hep-th/0202161.
2. Klaus Ecker and Gerhard Huisken, *Parabolic methods for the construction of spacelike slices of prescribed mean curvature in cosmological spacetimes.*, Commun. Math. Phys. **135** (1991), no. 3, 595–613.
3. Claus Gerhardt, *H-surfaces in Lorentzian manifolds*, Commun. Math. Phys. **89** (1983), 523–553.
4. ———, *Hypersurfaces of prescribed curvature in Lorentzian manifolds*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **49** (2000), 1125–1153, pdf file.
5. ———, *Hypersurfaces of prescribed mean curvature in Lorentzian manifolds*, Math. Z. **235** (2000), 83–97.
6. ———, *On the foliation of space-time by constant mean curvature hypersurfaces*, 2003, e-print, 7 pages, math.DG/0304423.
7. ———, *The inverse mean curvature flow in cosmological spacetimes*, 2004, 24 pages, math.DG/0403097.
8. R.P. Geroch, *The domain of dependence*, J. Math. Phys. **11** (1970), 437–449.
9. R.P. Geroch and G.T. Horowitz, *Global structure of spacetime*, General Relativity. An Einstein centenary survey (S.W. Hawking and W. Israel, eds.), Cambridge University Press, 1973, p. 212–293.
10. S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The large scale structure of space-time*, Cambridge University Press, London, 1973. MR 54 #12154
11. Barrett O’Neill, *Semi-Riemannian geometry. With applications to relativity.*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 103. New York-London etc.: Academic Press. XIII, 1983.

RUPRECHT-KARLS-UNIVERSITÄT, INSTITUT FÜR ANGEWANDTE MATHEMATIK, IM NEUEN-
HEIMER FELD 294, 69120 HEIDELBERG, GERMANY

E-mail address: gerhardt@math.uni-heidelberg.de

URL: <http://www.math.uni-heidelberg.de/studinfo/gerhardt/>