

# THE INVERSE MEAN CURVATURE FLOW IN ROBERTSON-WALKER SPACES AND ITS APPLICATION TO COSMOLOGY

CLAUS GERHARDT

ABSTRACT. We consider the inverse mean curvature flow in Robertson-Walker spacetimes that satisfy the Einstein equations and have a big crunch singularity and prove that under natural conditions the rescaled inverse mean curvature flow provides a smooth transition from big crunch to big bang. We also construct an example showing that in general the transition flow is only of class  $C^3$ .

## CONTENTS

0. Introduction	1
1. The Friedmann equation	3
2. The transition flow	4
3. A counter example	8
References	9

## 0. INTRODUCTION

In a recent paper [2] we proved that the inverse mean curvature flow, properly rescaled, did provide a transition from big crunch to big bang. The transition flow was of class  $C^3$ . The underlying  $(n+1)$ -dimensional spacetime  $N$  was fairly general, a cosmological spacetime satisfying some structural conditions, we called these spacetimes ARW spaces.

In this paper we shall show that in general the differentiability class  $C^3$  is the best possible for the transition flow. If it should be of class  $C^\infty$ , then additional assumptions have to be satisfied.

We shall consider the problem in Robertson-Walker spaces  $N = I \times \mathcal{S}_0$ , where  $\mathcal{S}_0$  is a spaceform with curvature  $\tilde{\kappa} = -1, 0, 1$ , it may be compact or

---

*Date:* 29th April 2004.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J60, 53C21, 53C44, 53C50, 58J05.

*Key words and phrases.* Lorentzian manifold, transition from big crunch to big bang, cyclic universe, general relativity, inverse mean curvature flow, ARW spacetimes.

This work has been supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

not, and the metric in  $N$  is of the form

$$(0.1) \quad d\bar{s}^2 = e^{2f}(-(dx^0)^2 + \sigma_{ij}(x)dx^i dx^j),$$

where  $x^0 = \tau$  is the time function,  $(\sigma_{ij})$  the metric of  $\mathcal{S}_0$ ,  $f = f(\tau)$ , and  $x^0$  ranges between  $-a < x^0 < 0$ . We assume that there is a big crunch singularity in  $\{x^0 = 0\}$ , i.e., we assume

$$(0.2) \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} f(\tau) = -\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} -f' = \infty.$$

The Einstein equations should be valid with a cosmological constant  $\Lambda$

$$(0.3) \quad G_{\alpha\beta} + \Lambda \bar{g}_{\alpha\beta} = \kappa T_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \kappa > 0,$$

or equivalently,

$$(0.4) \quad G_{\alpha\beta} = \kappa(T_{\alpha\beta} - \sigma \bar{g}_{\alpha\beta}), \quad \sigma = \frac{\Lambda}{\kappa}.$$

If  $(T_{\alpha\beta})$  is the stress-energy tensor of a perfect fluid

$$(0.5) \quad T_0^0 = -\rho, \quad T_i^\alpha = p \delta_i^\alpha$$

with an equation of state

$$(0.6) \quad p = \frac{\omega}{n} \rho,$$

then the equation (0.4) is equivalent to the Friedmann equation

$$(0.7) \quad |f'|^2 = -\tilde{\kappa} + \frac{2\kappa}{n(n-1)}(\rho + \sigma)e^{2f},$$

which can be easily derived by looking at the component  $\alpha = \beta = 0$  in (0.4).

Assuming that  $\omega$  is of the form

$$(0.8) \quad \omega = \omega_0 + \lambda(f), \quad \omega_0 = \text{const},$$

where  $\lambda = \lambda(t)$  is smooth satisfying

$$(0.9) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \lambda(t) = 0,$$

such that there exists a primitive  $\tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\mu}(t)$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}' = \lambda$ , with

$$(0.10) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \tilde{\mu}(t) = 0,$$

then  $\rho$  obeys the conservation law

$$(0.11) \quad \rho = \rho_0 e^{-(n+\omega_0)f} e^{-\tilde{\mu}},$$

cf. [2, Lemma 0.2]. Hence we deduce from (0.7)

$$(0.12) \quad |f'|^2 = -\tilde{\kappa} + \frac{2\kappa}{n(n-1)}(\rho_0 e^{-(n+\omega_0)f} e^{-\tilde{\mu}} + \sigma)e^{2f}.$$

The main result of the paper can be summarized in the following theorem

**0.1. Theorem.** *Let  $\tilde{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}(n + \omega_0 - 2) > 0$ , and assume that  $\lambda$  satisfies the condition (1.2) and that  $\mu$  can be viewed as a smooth and even function in the variable  $(-r)^{\tilde{\gamma}}$ , where  $r = -e^f < 0$ , or that it can be extended to a smooth and even function on  $(-\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}, \tilde{\gamma}^{-1})$ , then the transition flow  $y = y(s, \xi)$ , as defined in (2.16) and (2.17), is smooth in  $(-\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}, \tilde{\gamma}^{-1}) \times \mathcal{S}_0$ , if either*

$$(0.13) \quad \omega_0 \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma = 0,$$

or

$$(0.14) \quad \omega_0 = 4 - n \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let us emphasize that the smooth transition from big crunch to big bang does not constitute the existence of a cyclic universe, cf. the end of Section 2 for a detailed discussion.

In Section 3 we prove that in general the transition flow is only of class  $C^3$  by constructing a counter example.

We believe that the results and even more the proofs indicate strongly that the inverse mean curvature flow is the right vehicle to offer a smooth transition from big crunch to big bang in case of abstract spacetimes that are not embedded in a bulk spacetime.

We refer to [1, Section 2] for a description of our notations and conventions.

## 1. THE FRIEDMANN EQUATION

We want to solve the Friedmann equation (0.12) in an interval  $I = (-a, 0)$  such that the resulting spacetime  $N$  is an ARW space, cf. [4, Definition 0.8] for a definition that applies to closed spacetimes as well as to non-closed.

In a slightly different setting we proved in [2, Section 9] that a cosmological spacetime satisfying the Einstein equations for a perfect fluid with an equation of state (0.6),  $\omega = \text{const}$ , is an ARW space, if

$$(1.1) \quad \tilde{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}(n + \omega - 2) > 0.$$

This result will also be valid in the present situation.

**1.1. Lemma.** *Let  $\tilde{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}(n + \omega_0 - 2)$  be positive and assume that  $\lambda$  and  $\tilde{\mu}$  satisfy the conditions stated in the previous section, and in addition suppose*

$$(1.2) \quad |D^m \lambda(t)| \leq c_m \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Then the Friedmann equation (0.12) can be solved in an interval  $I = (-a, 0)$  such that  $f \in C^\infty(I)$  and the relations (0.2) are valid. Moreover,  $N$  is an ARW space.*

*Proof.* We want to apply the existence result [4, Theorem 3.1]. Multiply equation (0.12) by  $e^{2\tilde{\gamma}f}$  and set

$$(1.3) \quad \varphi = e^{\tilde{\gamma}f}$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad r = -e^f.$$

Then  $\varphi$  satisfies the differential equation

$$(1.5) \quad \tilde{\gamma}^{-2} \dot{\varphi}^2 = -\tilde{\kappa} e^{2\tilde{\gamma}f} + \frac{2\kappa}{n(n-1)} (\sigma e^{2(\tilde{\gamma}+1)f} + \rho_0 e^{-\mu}),$$

where we defined  $\mu = \mu(r)$  by

$$(1.6) \quad \mu(r) = \tilde{\mu}(\log(-r)).$$

Suppose the Friedmann equation were solvable with  $f$  satisfying (0.2), then the right-hand side of (1.5) would tend to  $\frac{2\kappa}{n(n-1)}\rho_0$ , if  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ . Thus, we see that solving (0.12) and (0.2) is equivalent to solving

$$(1.7) \quad \tilde{\gamma}^{-1} \dot{\varphi} = -\sqrt{F(\varphi)}$$

with initial value  $\varphi(0) = 0$ , where

$$(1.8) \quad F(\varphi) = -\tilde{\kappa}\varphi^2 + \frac{2\kappa}{n(n-1)}(\rho_0 e^{-\mu} + \sigma\varphi^{2(1+\tilde{\gamma}^{-1})})$$

and  $\mu$  should be considered to depend on

$$(1.9) \quad \mu(r) = \mu(-\varphi^{\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}}).$$

We can now apply the existence result in [4, Theorem 3.1] to conclude that (1.7) has a solution  $\varphi \in C^1((-a, 0]) \cap C^\infty((-a, 0))$ , where, if we choose  $a$  maximal,  $a$  is determined by the requirement

$$(1.10) \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow -a} \varphi = \infty \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow -a} F(\varphi) = 0.$$

Set  $f = \tilde{\gamma}^{-1} \log \varphi$ , then  $f$  satisfies (0.2), since  $F(0) > 0$ .

Moreover, differentiating (1.5) with respect to  $\tau$  and dividing the resulting equation by  $2f'e^{\tilde{\gamma}f}$  we obtain

$$(1.11) \quad f'' + \tilde{\gamma}|f'|^2 = -\tilde{\kappa}\tilde{\gamma} + \frac{\kappa}{n(n-1)}(2\sigma(\tilde{\gamma}+1)e^{2f} - \lambda\rho_0 e^{-\mu}),$$

from which we conclude that  $N$  is an ARW space, in view of (0.9), (0.10) and (1.2).  $\square$

## 2. THE TRANSITION FLOW

Let  $M_0$  be a spacelike hypersurface with positive mean curvature with respect to the past directed normal, then the inverse mean curvature flow with initial hypersurface  $M_0$  is given by the evolution equation

$$(2.1) \quad \dot{x} = -H^{-1}\nu,$$

where  $\nu$  is the past directed normal of the flow hypersurfaces  $M(t)$  which are locally defined by an embedding

$$(2.2) \quad x = x(t, \xi), \quad \xi = (\xi^i),$$

cf. [2] for details.

In general, even in Robertson-Walker spaces, this evolution problem can only be solved, if  $\mathcal{S}_0$  is compact. However, if, in the present situation, we

assume that  $M_0$  is a coordinate slice  $\{x^0 = \text{const}\}$ , then the fairly complex parabolic system (2.1) is reduced to a scalar ordinary differential equation.

Look at the component  $\alpha = 0$  in (2.1). Writing the hypersurfaces  $M(t)$  as graphs over  $\mathcal{S}_0$

$$(2.3) \quad M(t) = \{ (u, x) : x \in \mathcal{S}_0 \},$$

we see that  $u$  only depends on  $t$ ,  $u = u(t)$ , and  $u$  satisfies the differential equation

$$(2.4) \quad \dot{u} = \frac{1}{-nf'},$$

where  $f = f(u)$ , with initial value  $u(0) = u_0$ , cf. [2, Section 2]. The mean curvature of the slices  $M(t)$  is given by

$$(2.5) \quad H = e^{-f}(-nf').$$

From (2.4) we immediately deduce

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{d}{dt}(nf + t) = nf'\dot{u} + 1 = 0,$$

and hence

$$(2.7) \quad e^{nf} e^t = \text{const} = c,$$

or equivalently,

$$(2.8) \quad e^{\tilde{\gamma}f} e^{\gamma t} = c,$$

where  $\gamma = \frac{1}{n}\tilde{\gamma}$ , and where the symbol  $c$  may represent different constants.

The conservation law (2.8) can be viewed as the integrated version of the inverse mean curvature flow.

In [2, Theorem 3.6] we proved that there are positive constants  $c_1, c_2$  such that

$$(2.9) \quad -c_1 \leq \tilde{u} \leq -c_2 < 0.$$

The old proof also works in the present situation, where  $\mathcal{S}_0$  is not necessarily compact, since  $u$  doesn't depend on  $x$ .

Moreover,

$$(2.10) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{u} \quad \text{exists,}$$

cf. [2, Lemma 7.1].

We shall define a new spacetime  $\hat{N}$  by reflection and time reversal such that the IMCF in the old spacetime transforms to an IMCF in the new one.

By switching the light cone we obtain a new spacetime  $\hat{N}$ . The flow equation in  $N$  is independent of the time orientation, and we can write it as

$$(2.11) \quad \dot{x} = -H^{-1}\nu = -(-H)^{-1}(-\nu) \equiv -\hat{H}^{-1}\hat{\nu},$$

where the normal vector  $\hat{\nu} = -\nu$  is past directed in  $\hat{N}$  and the mean curvature  $\hat{H} = -H$  negative.

Introducing a new time function  $\hat{x}^0 = -x^0$  and formally new coordinates  $(\hat{x}^\alpha)$  by setting

$$(2.12) \quad \hat{x}^0 = -x^0, \quad \hat{x}^i = x^i,$$

we define a spacetime  $\hat{N}$  having the same metric as  $N$ —only expressed in the new coordinate system—such that the flow equation has the form

$$(2.13) \quad \dot{\hat{x}} = -\hat{H}^{-1}\hat{\nu},$$

where  $M(t) = \text{graph } \hat{u}(t)$ ,  $\hat{u} = -u$ .

The singularity in  $\hat{x}^0 = 0$  is now a past singularity, and can be referred to as a big bang singularity.

The union  $N \cup \hat{N}$  is a smooth manifold, topologically a product  $(-a, a) \times \mathcal{S}_0$ —we are well aware that formally the singularity  $\{0\} \times \mathcal{S}_0$  is not part of the union; equipped with the respective metrics and time orientation it is a spacetime which has a (metric) singularity in  $x^0 = 0$ . The time function

$$(2.14) \quad \hat{x}^0 = \begin{cases} x^0, & \text{in } N, \\ -x^0, & \text{in } \hat{N}, \end{cases}$$

is smooth across the singularity and future directed.

Using the time function in (2.14) the inverse mean curvature flows in  $N$  and  $\hat{N}$  can be uniformly expressed in the form

$$(2.15) \quad \dot{\hat{x}} = -\hat{H}^{-1}\hat{\nu},$$

where (2.15) represents the original flow in  $N$ , if  $\hat{x}^0 < 0$ , and the flow in (2.13), if  $\hat{x}^0 > 0$ .

In [2] we then introduced a new flow parameter

$$(2.16) \quad s = \begin{cases} -\gamma^{-1}e^{-\gamma t}, & \text{for the flow in } N, \\ \gamma^{-1}e^{-\gamma t}, & \text{for the flow in } \hat{N}, \end{cases}$$

and defined the flow  $y = y(s)$  by  $y(s) = \hat{x}(t)$ .  $y = y(s)$  is then defined in  $[-\gamma^{-1}, \gamma^{-1}] \times \mathcal{S}_0$ , smooth in  $\{s \neq 0\}$ , and satisfies the evolution equation

$$(2.17) \quad y' \equiv \frac{d}{ds}y = \begin{cases} -\hat{H}^{-1}\hat{\nu}e^{\gamma t}, & s < 0, \\ \hat{H}^{-1}\hat{\nu}e^{\gamma t}, & s > 0, \end{cases}$$

or equivalently, if we only consider the scalar version with  $\eta = \eta(s)$  representing  $y^0$

$$(2.18) \quad \eta' = \frac{d}{ds}\eta = \begin{cases} \dot{u}e^{\gamma t}, & s < 0, \\ -\dot{u}e^{\gamma t}, & s > 0. \end{cases}$$

According to the results in [2, Theorem 8.1]  $y$ , and hence  $\eta$ , are of class  $C^3$  across the singularity.

Now, looking at the relation (2.8) we see that the new parameter  $s$  could just as well be defined by

$$(2.19) \quad s = \begin{cases} -\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}e^{\tilde{\gamma}f}, & s < 0, \\ \tilde{\gamma}^{-1}e^{\tilde{\gamma}f}, & s > 0, \end{cases}$$

where in  $N$  as well as in  $\hat{N}$   $f$  is considered to be a function of  $u(t)$ ,  $f = f(u(t))$ .

Defining  $s$  by (2.19) we deduce for  $s < 0$

$$(2.20) \quad \eta' = \dot{u} \frac{dt}{ds} = \dot{u} \frac{1}{-f'e^{\tilde{\gamma}f}\dot{u}} = \frac{1}{-f'e^{\tilde{\gamma}f}} \equiv \varphi^{-1}.$$

The same relation is also valid for  $s > 0$ .

Suppose now that  $\varphi$ , or equivalently,  $\varphi^2$ ,

$$(2.21) \quad \varphi^2 = |f'|^2 e^{2\tilde{\gamma}f} = -\tilde{\kappa}e^{2\tilde{\gamma}f} + \frac{2\kappa}{n(n-1)}(\sigma e^{2(\tilde{\gamma}+1)f} + \rho_0 e^{-\mu}),$$

can be viewed as an even function in  $e^{\tilde{\gamma}f}$ , or equivalently, an even function in  $s$ , then  $\eta$  would be of class  $C^\infty$  across the singularity, and hence the transition flow  $y = y(s)$  would be smooth.

We have thus proved

**2.1. Theorem.** *Let  $\tilde{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}(n + \omega_0 - 2) > 0$ , and assume that  $\lambda$  satisfies the condition (1.2) and that  $\mu$  is a smooth and even function in the variable  $(-r)^{\tilde{\gamma}}$ ,  $r < 0$ , or can be extended to a smooth and even function on  $(-\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}, \tilde{\gamma}^{-1})$ , then the transition flow  $y = y(s, \xi)$  is smooth in  $(-\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}, \tilde{\gamma}^{-1}) \times \mathcal{S}_0$ , if either*

$$(2.22) \quad \omega_0 \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma = 0,$$

or

$$(2.23) \quad \omega_0 = 4 - n \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If  $n = 3$  and (2.23) is valid, this means that we consider a radiation dominated universe.

Let us also emphasize that in the preceding theorem we have only proved a smooth transition from big crunch to big bang. This does not necessarily mean that we have a cyclic universe—the same observation also applies to the transition results we obtained in [4] in a brane cosmology setting.

It could well be that the following scenario holds: The spacetime  $N$  exists in  $-\infty < \tau < 0$  with the only singularity in  $\tau = 0$ , a big crunch; the mean curvature of the slices  $\{x^0 = \text{const}\}$  is always positive and

$$(2.24) \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty} e^f = \infty.$$

After a smooth transition through the singularity the mirror image  $\hat{N}$  develops.

Such a pair of universes  $(N, \hat{N})$  can be easily constructed, in fact, this will always be the case, if the right-hand side of equation (1.8) never vanishes and

grows at most quadratically in  $\varphi$ , which will be the case, if  $\sigma = 0$ , since then equation (1.7) will be solvable in an interval  $(-a, 0]$ , where  $a$  is determined by the requirement

$$(2.25) \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow -a} F(\varphi) = 0.$$

Hence, if  $F(\varphi)$  never vanishes, the solution of (1.7) will exist in  $(-\infty, 0]$ . Moreover, in  $\tau = -\infty$  there cannot be a singularity, a big bang, since this would require that the mean curvature of the coordinate slices tend to  $-\infty$ . But this is impossible, since  $H$  never changes sign, there exist no maximal hypersurfaces in  $N$ .

To give an explicit example set  $\sigma = \mu = 0$  and assume  $\tilde{\kappa} = 0, -1$ . Then equation (1.5) has the form

$$(2.26) \quad \dot{\varphi}^2 = -\tilde{\kappa}\tilde{\gamma}^2\varphi^2 + \frac{2\kappa\tilde{\gamma}^2}{n(n-1)}\rho_0.$$

If  $\tilde{\kappa} = -1$ , we deduce

$$(2.27) \quad \varphi = \lambda \sinh(c\tau), \quad \lambda < 0, \quad c > 0,$$

and if  $\tilde{\kappa} = 0$ , then

$$(2.28) \quad \dot{\varphi} = -c^2,$$

hence

$$(2.29) \quad \varphi = -c^2\tau,$$

i.e.,

$$(2.30) \quad e^f = (-c^2\tau)^{\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}}.$$

### 3. A COUNTER EXAMPLE

We shall show that, even in the case of Robertson-Walker spaces, the transition flow is in general only of class  $C^3$ , by constructing a counter example.

**3.1. Theorem.** *Let  $\omega = \omega_0$  be such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}(n + \omega - 2) \geq 2,$$

*and assume  $\sigma \neq 0$ . Then the Friedmann equation (0.12) has a solution in the interval  $(-a, 0)$  such that corresponding spacetime is an ARW space. The transition flow  $y = y(s)$ , however, is only of class  $C^3$ . If  $\tilde{\gamma} = 2$ , then  $y$  is of class  $C^{3,1}$ , but, if  $\tilde{\gamma} > 2$ , then*

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{s \uparrow 0} \left| \frac{d^4 \eta}{(ds)^4} \right| = \infty,$$

*where  $\eta = \eta(s)$  is defined as in (2.18).*



*Proof.* Due to Lemma 1.1 the Friedman equation is solvable and the resulting spacetime is an ARW space.

Notice that

$$(3.3) \quad s = \begin{cases} -ce^{\tilde{\gamma}f}, & s < 0, \\ ce^{\tilde{\gamma}f}, & s > 0, \end{cases}$$

and hence we conclude

$$(3.4) \quad \eta'(s) = \varphi^{-1},$$

cf. equation (2.20), where  $\varphi^2$  can be expressed as

$$(3.5) \quad \varphi^2 = -\tilde{\kappa}c_1s^2 + c_2\rho_0 + c_3\sigma(s^2)^{1+\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}}$$

with positive constants  $c_i$ .

The proof of the theorem can now be completed by elementary calculations.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

1. Claus Gerhardt, *Hypersurfaces of prescribed curvature in Lorentzian manifolds*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **49** (2000), 1125–1153, pdf file.
2. ———, *The inverse mean curvature flow in ARW spaces - transition from big crunch to big bang*, 2004, 39, pages, math.DG/0403485.
3. ———, *The inverse mean curvature flow in cosmological spacetimes*, 2004, 24 pages, math.DG/0403097.
4. ———, *Transition from big crunch to big bang in brane cosmology*, 2004, 19 pages, gr-qc/0404061.
5. Justin Khoury, *A briefing on the ekpyrotic/cyclic universe*, 2004, 8 pages, astro-ph/0401579.
6. Neil Turok and Paul J. Steinhardt, *Beyond inflation: A cyclic universe scenario*, 2004, 27 pages, hep-th/0403020.

RUPRECHT-KARLS-UNIVERSITÄT, INSTITUT FÜR ANGEWANDTE MATHEMATIK, IM NEUENHEIMER FELD 294, 69120 HEIDELBERG, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* gerhardt@math.uni-heidelberg.de

*URL:* <http://www.math.uni-heidelberg.de/studinfo/gerhardt/>