

NON-SCALE-INVARIANT INVERSE CURVATURE FLOWS IN EUCLIDEAN SPACE

CLAUS GERHARDT

ABSTRACT. We consider the inverse curvature flows $\dot{x} = F^{-p}\nu$ of closed star-shaped hypersurfaces in Euclidean space in case $0 < p \neq 1$ and prove that the flow exists for all time and converges to infinity, if $0 < p < 1$, while in case $p > 1$, the flow blows up in finite time, and where we assume the initial hypersurface to be strictly convex. In both cases the properly rescaled flows converge to the unit sphere.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Definitions and Conventions	3
3. First estimates	5
4. C^2 -estimates and maximal existence	13
5. Convergence of the rescaled flow	19
References	20

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider expanding curvature flows of star-shaped closed hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} ; these flows are also called inverse curvature flows. In [2] we considered flows of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \dot{x} = F^{-1}\nu,$$

where F is a curvature function homogeneous of degree 1, and proved that the flow exists for all time and converges to infinity. After a proper rescaling, the rescaled flow will converge to a sphere.

The equation (1.1) has the property that it is scale-invariant, i.e., if the initial hypersurface M_0 is star-shaped with respect to the origin and if it is

Date: December 19, 2012.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J60, 53C21, 53C44, 53C50, 58J05.

Key words and phrases. Inverse curvature flows, Euclidean space, non-scale-invariant flows.

This work has been supported by the DFG.

scaled by a factor $\lambda > 0$, then the solution x_λ of equation (1.1) with initial hypersurface λM_0 is given by λx , where $x = x(t, \xi)$ is the original solution.

This scale-invariance seems to be the underlying reason why expanding curvature flows in Euclidean space do not develop singularities contrary to contracting curvature flows which will contract to a point in finite time, see [6].

For non-scale-invariant flows, i.e., for flows satisfying

$$(1.2) \quad \dot{x} = F^{-p}\nu, \quad 0 < p \neq 1,$$

singularities will develop, if $p > 1$. When the initial hypersurface is a sphere equation (1.2) is equivalent to an ODE, since the leaves of the flow will then be spheres too, and the spherical flow will develop a blow up in finite time if $p > 1$. For $0 < p < 1$ the spherical flow will exist for all time and converge to infinity.

Flows with $0 < p < 1$ have been considered by Urbas [10, Theorem 1.3] for convex hypersurfaces and he proved that the flow exists for all time, converges to infinity and that the rescaled hypersurfaces converge to a sphere. Though there are two mistakes in the proof and one in the formulation of the theorem, namely, the scale factor should have a negative exponent in equation (4.4) and in the formulation of the theorem, and the time derivative of \tilde{H} in equation (4.5) has the wrong sign; however, the second mistake introduces the correct sign into the equation which would have been resulted by choosing the correct exponent in the scale factor and by differentiating correctly, and, hence, the proof is essentially correct.

In the case $p > 1$ there are only a few special results in dimension $n = 2$ and essentially only for the Gaussian curvature. Let

$$(1.3) \quad F = \sigma_n = H_n^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

where H_n is the Gaussian curvature, then Schnürer [9] considered the case $p = 2 = n$ and Li [7] the cases $1 \leq p \leq 2 = n$. The restriction to two dimensions is due to the method of proof for the crucial curvature estimates which relies on the monotonicity of a certain rather artificial expression depending on the principal curvatures of the flow hypersurfaces.

In this paper we consider the flow (1.2) for star-shaped initial hypersurfaces M_0 in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , if $0 < p < 1$, and for strictly convex M_0 in case $1 < p < \infty$, where the curvature function F is supposed to be homogeneous of degree 1, monotone and concave. The initial hypersurface is also assumed to be *admissible*, i.e., its principal curvatures lie in the interior of the domain of F .

Our results can be summarized in two theorems:

1.1. Theorem. *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open, convex, symmetric cone containing the positive cone Γ_+ , and let $F \in C^{m,\alpha}(\Gamma) \cap C^0(\bar{\Gamma})$, $4 \leq m \leq \infty$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, be a symmetric, strictly monotone and concave curvature function homogeneous of degree 1 satisfying*

$$(1.4) \quad F|_\Gamma > 0 \quad \wedge \quad F|_{\partial\Gamma} = 0,$$

i.e., assume Γ to be a defining cone for F . Let $M_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $n \geq 2$, be a closed, admissible, star-shaped hypersurface of class $C^{m+2,\alpha}$, then the flow equation (1.2), where $0 < p < 1$, with initial hypersurface M_0 has a solution $x = x(t, \xi)$ which exists for all time. The leaves $M(t)$ can be written as graphs of a function $u = u(t, \xi)$ over \mathbb{S}^n such that

$$(1.5) \quad u \in H^{m+2+\alpha, \frac{m+2+\alpha}{2}}(\bar{Q}),$$

where Q is the cylinder

$$(1.6) \quad Q = [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{S}^n$$

and the parabolic Hölder space is defined in the usual way, see e.g., [4, Note 2.5.4]. The flow converges to infinity and the properly rescaled leaves converge in $C^{m+2}(\mathbb{S}^n)$ to the unit sphere.

1.2. Theorem. Let $1 < p < \infty$ and suppose that the curvature function F satisfies the assumptions of the preceding theorem, and assume furthermore that $\Gamma = \Gamma_+$. Then the flow equation (1.2) with initial hypersurface $M_0 \in C^{m+2,\alpha}$, where M_0 is closed and strictly convex, has a solution $x = x(t, \xi)$ which is defined on a maximal finite interval $[0, T^*)$. The leaves $M(t)$ can be written as graphs of a function $u = u(t, \xi)$ over \mathbb{S}^n such that

$$(1.7) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} \inf_{\mathbb{S}^n} u(t, \cdot) = \infty$$

and the properly rescaled leaves converge in $C^{m+2}(\mathbb{S}^n)$ to the unit sphere.

2. DEFINITIONS AND CONVENTIONS

The main objective of this section is to state the equations of Gauß, Codazzi, and Weingarten for hypersurfaces M in a Riemannian $(n+1)$ -dimensional manifold N . Geometric quantities in N will be denoted by $(\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta})$, $(\bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta})$, etc., and those in M by (g_{ij}) , (R_{ijkl}) , etc. Greek indices range from 0 to n and Latin from 1 to n ; the summation convention is always used. Generic coordinate systems in N resp. M will be denoted by (x^α) resp. (ξ^i) . Covariant differentiation will simply be indicated by indices, only in case of possible ambiguity they will be preceded by a semicolon, i.e., for a function u in N , (u_α) will be the gradient and $(u_{\alpha\beta})$ the Hessian, but e.g., the covariant derivative of the curvature tensor will be abbreviated by $\bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta;\epsilon}$. We also point out that

$$(2.1) \quad \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta;i} = \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta;\epsilon} x_i^\epsilon$$

with obvious generalizations to other quantities.

In local coordinates, (x^α) and (ξ^i) , the geometric quantities of the hypersurface M are connected through the following equations

$$(2.2) \quad x_{ij}^\alpha = -h_{ij} \nu^\alpha$$

the so-called *Gauß formula*. Here, and also in the sequel, a covariant derivative is always a *full* tensor, i.e.,

$$(2.3) \quad x_{ij}^\alpha = x_{,ij}^\alpha - \Gamma_{ij}^k x_k^\alpha + \bar{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha x_i^\beta x_j^\gamma.$$

The comma indicates ordinary partial derivatives.

In this implicit definition the *second fundamental form* (h_{ij}) is taken with respect to $-\nu$.

The second equation is the *Weingarten equation*

$$(2.4) \quad \nu_i^\alpha = h_i^k x_k^\alpha,$$

where we remember that ν_i^α is a full tensor.

Finally, we have the *Codazzi equation*

$$(2.5) \quad h_{ij;k} - h_{ik;j} = \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \nu^\alpha x_i^\beta x_j^\gamma x_k^\delta$$

and the *Gauß equation*

$$(2.6) \quad R_{ijkl} = \{h_{ik}h_{jl} - h_{il}h_{jk}\} + \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} x_i^\alpha x_j^\beta x_k^\gamma x_l^\delta.$$

Now, let us assume that N is a topological product $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}_0$, where \mathcal{S}_0 is a compact Riemannian manifold, and that there exists a Gaussian coordinate system (x^α) , such that the metric in N has the form

$$(2.7) \quad d\bar{s}_N^2 = e^{2\psi} \{dx^{0^2} + \sigma_{ij}(x^0, x) dx^i dx^j\},$$

where σ_{ij} is a Riemannian metric, ψ a function on N , and x an abbreviation for the components (x^i) ,

Let $M = \text{graph } u|_{\mathcal{S}_0}$ be a spacelike hypersurface

$$(2.8) \quad M = \{(x^0, x) : x^0 = u(x), x \in \mathcal{S}_0\},$$

then the induced metric has the form

$$(2.9) \quad g_{ij} = e^{2\psi} \{u_i u_j + \sigma_{ij}\}$$

where σ_{ij} is evaluated at (u, x) , and its inverse $(g^{ij}) = (g_{ij})^{-1}$ can be expressed as

$$(2.10) \quad g^{ij} = e^{-2\psi} \left\{ \sigma^{ij} - \frac{u^i u^j}{v} \right\},$$

where $(\sigma^{ij}) = (\sigma_{ij})^{-1}$ and

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} u^i &= \sigma^{ij} u_j \\ v^2 &= 1 + \sigma^{ij} u_i u_j \equiv 1 + |Du|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The contravariant form of a normal vector of a graph looks like

$$(2.12) \quad (\nu^\alpha) = \pm v^{-1} e^{-\psi} (1, -u^i).$$

In the Gauß formula (2.2) we are free to choose any of two normals, but we stipulate that in general we use

$$(2.13) \quad (\nu^\alpha) = v^{-1} e^{-\psi} (1, -u^i).$$

as normal vector.

Look at the component $\alpha = 0$ in (2.2), then we obtain

$$(2.14) \quad e^{-\psi} v^{-1} h_{ij} = -u_{ij} - \bar{\Gamma}_{00}^0 u_i u_j - \bar{\Gamma}_{0i}^0 u_j - \bar{\Gamma}_{0j}^0 u_i - \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^0.$$

Here, the covariant derivatives are taken with respect to the induced metric of M , and

$$(2.15) \quad -\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^0 = e^{-\psi} \bar{h}_{ij},$$

where (\bar{h}_{ij}) is the second fundamental form of the hypersurfaces $\{x^0 = \text{const}\}$.

3. FIRST ESTIMATES

Let $F \in C^{m,\alpha}(\Gamma) \cap C^0(\bar{\Gamma})$, $m \geq 4$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, be a monotone and concave curvature function homogeneous of degree 1 and normalized such that

$$(3.1) \quad F(1, \dots, 1) = n.$$

We first look at the flow of geodesic spheres. Fix a point $p_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and consider polar coordinates with center p_0 . Then the Euclidean metric can be expressed as

$$(3.2) \quad d\bar{s}^2 = dr^2 + r^2 \sigma_{ij} dx^i dx^j,$$

where σ_{ij} is the standard metric of the sphere \mathbb{S}^n .

Spheres with center p_0 and radius r are umbilical, their second fundamental form is given by

$$(3.3) \quad \bar{h}_{ij} = r^{-1} \bar{g}_{ij}.$$

Hence, the flow equation

$$(3.4) \quad \dot{x} = -\Phi \nu,$$

where $\Phi(F) = -F^{-p}$, can be reduced to

$$(3.5) \quad \dot{r} = \frac{1}{(nr^{-1})^p} = n^{-p} r^p,$$

and, thus, in case $p \neq 1$,

$$(3.6) \quad r = \left\{ \frac{1-p}{n^p} t + r_0^{1-p} \right\}^{\frac{1}{1-p}},$$

where

$$(3.7) \quad r(0) = r_0,$$

and we conclude:

3.1. Remark. If the initial hypersurface is a sphere, the flow (3.5) exists for all time, if $0 < p < 1$, and converges to infinity, while in case $p > 1$, the flow blows up in finite time

$$(3.8) \quad T^* = \frac{n^p}{p-1} r_0^{1-p}.$$

As a corollary we obtain:

3.2. Corollary. *Let $M_0 = \text{graph } u_0$ be star-shaped and let $x = x(t, \xi)$ be a solution of the flow (3.4) and define $u(t, \xi)$ by $M(t) = \text{graph } u(t)$. Let r_1, r_2 be positive constants such that*

$$(3.9) \quad r_1 < u_0(\xi) < r_2 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{S}^n,$$

then $u(t)$ satisfies the estimates

$$(3.10) \quad \Theta(t, r_1) < u(t, \xi) < \Theta(t, r_2) \quad \forall 0 \leq t < \min\{T^*, T^*(r_1), T^*(r_2)\},$$

where

$$(3.11) \quad \Theta(t, r) = \left\{ \frac{1-p}{n^p} t + r^{1-p} \right\}^{\frac{1}{1-p}}$$

and where $T^*(r_i)$ indicates the maximal time for which the spherical flow with initial sphere of radius r_i will exist.

Proof. The spheres with radii $\Theta(r, r_i)$ are the spherical solutions of the flow (3.4) with initial spheres of radius r_i .

The flow $x = x(t, \xi)$ also satisfies a scalar flow equation

$$(3.12) \quad \dot{u} = \frac{1}{vF^p},$$

where the dot indicates the total time derivative, or,

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{v}{F^p}$$

when we consider the partial time derivative.

Hence, the result is due to the maximum principle, since these are parabolic equations. \square

3.3. Lemma. *Let $0 < p < 1$ and $r_1 \leq r \leq r_2$, then there are positive constants c_1, c_2 depending only on r_1, r_2 and p such that*

$$(3.14) \quad 0 < c_1 \leq u(t)\Theta^{-1}(t, r) \leq c_2 \quad \forall 0 \leq t < T^*,$$

and the flow is compactly contained in \mathbb{R}^n for finite t .

Proof. Obvious. \square

3.4. Lemma. *Let $1 < p$, then the flow (3.4) only exists in a finite time interval $[0, T^*)$ and there holds*

$$(3.15) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow T^*} \max_{\mathbb{S}^n} u(t, \cdot) = \infty.$$

Proof. Recall that for $p > 1$ the hypersurfaces are convex. In view of the estimates (3.10) the maximal time T^* has to be finite in this case.

As we shall prove later in Theorem 4.1 on page 13 the flow will remain smooth with uniform estimates as long as it stays in a compact domain, hence (3.15) must be valid. \square

Let $0 < r_0$ be such that for the function $\Theta(t, r_0)$, where $p > 1$, the singularity

$$(3.16) \quad T^*(r_0) = T^*,$$

then we can prove:

3.5. Lemma. *Let u be the solution of the scalar flow equation (3.13) and assume $p > 1$ and that (3.15) is valid. Then there exists a positive constant c such that*

$$(3.17) \quad u(t, \xi) - c \leq \Theta(t, r_0) \leq u(t, \xi) + c \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{S}^n,$$

hence

$$(3.18) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} u(t, \xi) \Theta^{-1}(t, r_0) = 1 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{S}^n.$$

Proof. Assume without loss of generality that the origin is inside the convex body defined by M_0 . Then the support function

$$(3.19) \quad \bar{u} = \langle x, \nu \rangle$$

of the flow hypersurfaces can be looked at as being defined on the Gauß image of $M(t)$ and \bar{u} satisfies the parabolic equation

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{\bar{u}} = \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t} &= \tilde{F}^p(\bar{u}_{ij} + \bar{u} \sigma_{ij}) \\ &= \tilde{F}(h_{ij}, \sigma_{ij}) = \tilde{F}^p(\kappa_i^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

on \mathbb{S}^n , where κ_i are the principal curvatures of $M(t)$, h_{ij} the second fundamental form and \tilde{F} the inverse curvature function of F which is defined by

$$(3.21) \quad \tilde{F}(\kappa_i) = \frac{1}{F(\kappa_i^{-1})} \quad \forall (\kappa_i) \in \Gamma_+.$$

Equation (3.20) can be easily derived, see e.g., [10, Section 2].

In view of the results in [1, Theorem 3.1] and [8, Theorem 3.1] the solution \bar{u} of (3.20) satisfies the a priori estimate

$$(3.22) \quad \text{osc } \bar{u} \leq c,$$

where $c = c(\bar{u}_0)$, from which we immediately deduce

$$(3.23) \quad \text{osc } u \leq c,$$

since $u(t, \xi) = \bar{u}(t, \xi)$, when ξ is an extremal point. The estimate (3.17) then is due to the fact that for any $t \in [0, T^*)$ there exists ξ_t such that

$$(3.24) \quad u(t, \xi_t) = \Theta(t, r_0),$$

cf. the arguments in the proof of [9, Lemma 5.1]. □

Next, we want to prove a priori estimates for v , or equivalently, for

$$(3.25) \quad |Du|^2 = u^{-2} \sigma^{ij} u_i u_j = \sigma^{ij} \varphi_i \varphi_j \equiv |D\varphi|^2,$$

where

$$(3.26) \quad \varphi = \log u.$$

Let us consider instead of the Euclidean metric a more general metric

$$(3.27) \quad d\bar{s}^2 = dr^2 + \vartheta(r)^2 \sigma_{ij} dx^i dx^j.$$

The second fundamental form can then be expressed as

$$(3.28) \quad h_{ij} v^{-1} = -u_{ij} + \bar{h}_{ij} = -u_{ij} + \dot{\vartheta} \sigma_{ij},$$

where the covariant derivatives are taken with respect to the induced metric.

Define the metric

$$(3.29) \quad \bar{\sigma}_{ij} = \vartheta^2(u) \sigma_{ij},$$

and denote covariant differentiation with respect to this metric by a semi-colon, then

$$(3.30) \quad h_{ij} v^{-1} = -v^{-2} u_{;ij} + \dot{\vartheta} \sigma_{ij},$$

cf. [4, Lemma 2.7.6], and we conclude further

$$(3.31) \quad \begin{aligned} h_j^i &= g^{ik} h_{kj} \\ &= v^{-1} \vartheta^{-1} \{ -(\sigma^{ik} - v^{-2} \varphi^i \varphi^k) \varphi_{jk} + \dot{\vartheta} \delta_j^i \}, \end{aligned}$$

where σ^{ij} is the inverse of σ_{ij} ,

$$(3.32) \quad \varphi = \int_{r_0}^u \vartheta^{-1},$$

$$(3.33) \quad \varphi^i = \sigma^{ik} \varphi_k,$$

and φ_{jk} are the second covariant derivatives of φ with respect to the metric σ_{ij} .

Thus, the scalar curvature equation (3.13) can now be expressed as

$$(3.34) \quad \dot{u} = \frac{v}{F^p},$$

or equivalently,

$$(3.35) \quad \dot{\varphi} = \vartheta^{-1} \dot{u} = \frac{\vartheta^{p-1} v}{F^p(\vartheta \tilde{h}_j^i)} \equiv \frac{\vartheta^{p-1} v}{F^p(\tilde{h}_j^i)},$$

where

$$(3.36) \quad \tilde{h}_j^i = v^{-1} \{ -(\sigma^{ik} - v^{-2} \varphi^i \varphi^k) \varphi_{jk} + \dot{\vartheta} \delta_j^i \}.$$

Let

$$(3.37) \quad \tilde{g}_{ij} = \varphi_i \varphi_j + \sigma_{ij},$$

then we consider the eigenvalues of

$$(3.38) \quad \tilde{h}_{ij} = \tilde{g}_{ik} \tilde{h}_j^k$$

with respect to this metric and we define F^{ij} resp. F_j^i accordingly

$$(3.39) \quad F^{ij} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \tilde{h}_{ij}}$$

and

$$(3.40) \quad F_j^i = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \tilde{h}_i^j} = \tilde{g}_{jk} F^{ik}.$$

Note that \tilde{h}_{ij} is symmetric, since h_{ij} and \tilde{g}_{ij} can be diagonalized simultaneously. We also emphasize that

$$(3.41) \quad |Du|^2 = \sigma^{ij} \varphi_i \varphi_j \equiv |D\varphi|^2.$$

3.6. Lemma. *Let u be a solution of the scalar curvature equation*

$$(3.42) \quad \dot{u} = \frac{v}{F^p},$$

where $0 < p < 1$, $\dot{\vartheta} \geq 0$ and $\ddot{\vartheta} \geq 0$, then

$$(3.43) \quad |Du|^2 \leq \sup_{\mathbb{S}^n} |Du_0|^2$$

during the evolution.

Moreover, if $\vartheta(u) = u$ and

$$(3.44) \quad F(\tilde{h}_j^i) = uF(h_j^i) \geq c_0 > 0,$$

then

$$(3.45) \quad |Du|^2 \leq \sup_{\mathbb{S}^n} |Du_0|^2 \frac{b^\gamma}{(at + b)^\gamma}$$

with positive constants a , b , and γ .

Proof. „(3.43)“ In view of (3.41), we may estimate

$$(3.46) \quad w = \frac{1}{2} |D\varphi|^2.$$

Differentiating equation (3.35) covariantly with respect to

$$(3.47) \quad \varphi^k D_k$$

we deduce

$$(3.48) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{w} = & (p-1)\vartheta^{p-1} \dot{\vartheta} F^{-p} |D\varphi|^2 v + \vartheta^{p-1} v_k \varphi^k F^{-p} \\ & + p\vartheta^{p-1} v F^{-(p+1)} \{v^{-1} F_i^j \tilde{h}_j^i v_k \varphi^k + v^{-1} F_l^k \tilde{g}^{lr} w_{kr} \\ & - v^{-1} F_l^k \tilde{g}^{lr} \varphi_{ik} \varphi_r^i + v^{-1} F_l^k \tilde{g}^{lr}{}_{;i} \varphi^i \varphi_{kr} + v^{-1} F_l^k \tilde{g}^{lr} \varphi_r \varphi_k \\ & - v^{-1} F_l^k \tilde{g}^{lr} \sigma_{kr} |D\varphi|^2 - 2v^{-1} F_k^k \ddot{\vartheta} w\}, \end{aligned}$$

where covariant derivatives with respect to the metric σ_{ij} are simply denoted by indices, if no ambiguities are possible, and by a semi-colon otherwise. In deriving the previous equation we also used the Ricci identities and the properties of the Riemann curvature tensor of \mathbb{S}^n .

Now, let $0 < T < T^*$ and suppose that

$$(3.49) \quad \sup_{\mathbb{Q}_T} w, \quad \mathbb{Q}_T = [0, T] \times \mathbb{S}^n,$$

is attained at (t_0, x_0) with $t_0 > 0$. Then the maximum principle implies

$$(3.50) \quad 0 \leq -F_l^k \tilde{g}^{lr} \varphi_{ik} \varphi_r^i + (F_l^k \tilde{g}^{lr} \varphi_r \varphi_k - F_l^k \tilde{g}^{lr} \sigma_{kr} |D\varphi|^2) - 2F_k^k \ddot{\vartheta} \vartheta w.$$

The right-hand side, however, is strictly negative, if $w > 0$, hence $t_0 > 0$ is not possible, since we didn't assume M_0 to be a sphere, and we conclude

$$(3.51) \quad w \leq \sup_{\mathbb{S}^n} w(0).$$

„(3.45)“ Define

$$(3.52) \quad \bar{w} = \sup_{\mathbb{S}^n} w(t, \cdot) = w(t, \xi_t),$$

then \bar{w} is Lipschitz and for almost every $t \in [0, T^*)$ there holds

$$(3.53) \quad \dot{\bar{w}} = \frac{\partial w(t, \xi_t)}{\partial t}.$$

Applying the maximum principle and the definition of ϑ we infer from (3.48)

$$(3.54) \quad \dot{\bar{w}} \leq 2(p-1)u^{p-1}F^{-p}\bar{w}v,$$

where $F = F(\tilde{h}_j^i)$. Using the notations and results of Lemma 3.3 we further deduce

$$(3.55) \quad \dot{\bar{w}} \leq (p-1)2F^{-p}\tilde{u}^{p-1}\bar{w}\Theta^{p-1}v,$$

where $\tilde{u} = u\Theta^{-1}$, hence

$$(3.56) \quad (\log \bar{w})' \leq -c \frac{1}{at+b}$$

in view of the assumption (3.44) and the estimate (3.43); a , b and c are positive constants. The relation (3.45) is then an immediate consequence with $\gamma = \frac{c}{a}$. \square

A similar a priori estimate is also valid in case $p > 1$.

3.7. Lemma. *Let $p > 1$ and assume (3.15) to be satisfied, then*

$$(3.57) \quad v - 1 \leq c\Theta^{-1},$$

where $\Theta = \Theta(t, r_0)$ as in (3.17), i.e.,

$$(3.58) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} \|Du\| = 0.$$

Proof. Let \bar{u} be the support function and let

$$(3.59) \quad \tilde{u} = u\Theta^{-1} \quad \wedge \quad \tilde{\bar{u}} = \bar{u}\Theta^{-1},$$

then we deduce from (3.17) and (3.22) and the relation

$$(3.60) \quad \bar{u} = \langle x, \nu \rangle,$$

or equivalently,

$$(3.61) \quad v = u\bar{u}^{-1},$$

that

$$(3.62) \quad \begin{aligned} v - 1 &= (u - \bar{u})\bar{u}^{-1} = (\tilde{u} - \tilde{\bar{u}})\tilde{\bar{u}}^{-1} \\ &= (\tilde{u} - 1)\tilde{\bar{u}}^{-1} + (1 - \tilde{\bar{u}})\tilde{\bar{u}}^{-1} \\ &\leq c\Theta^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Next let us state the evolution equations for the geometric quantities Φ , u , and χ , where

$$(3.63) \quad \chi = \langle x, \nu \rangle^{-1} = vu^{-1}.$$

The term $\Phi = -F^{-p}$ satisfies the evolution equation

$$(3.64) \quad \Phi' - \dot{\Phi}F^{ij}\Phi_{ij} = \dot{\Phi}F^{ij}h_{ik}h_j^k\Phi,$$

cf. [4, Lemma 2.4.8], where we use the notation

$$(3.65) \quad \Phi' = \frac{d(\Phi \circ F)}{dt} \quad \wedge \quad \dot{\Phi} = \frac{d\Phi}{dr},$$

here $\Phi = \Phi(r)$; for u we have

$$(3.66) \quad \dot{u} - \dot{\Phi}F^{ij}u_{ij} = v^{-1}(p+1)F^{-p} - \dot{\Phi}F^{ij}\bar{h}_{ij},$$

which can be easily deduced from the scalar curvature equation (3.12) and the expression (3.28) for the second fundamental form in view of the homogeneity of F . Finally, χ satisfies

$$(3.67) \quad \dot{\chi} - \dot{\Phi}F^{ij}\chi_{ij} = -\dot{\Phi}F^{ij}h_{ik}h_j^k\chi - 2\chi^{-1}\dot{\Phi}F^{ij}\chi_i\chi_j + \{\dot{\Phi}F + \Phi\}\frac{\bar{H}}{n}v\chi,$$

cf. [3, Lemma 5.8].

The spherical solution Θ , which we also call the scale factor, satisfies

$$(3.68) \quad \dot{\Theta} = n^{-p}\Theta^p$$

for $p \neq 1$, hence, the scaled quantities

$$(3.69) \quad \tilde{\Phi} = \Phi\Theta^{-p} \quad \wedge \quad \tilde{u} = u\Theta^{-1} \quad \wedge \quad \tilde{\chi} = \chi\Theta$$

satisfy similar equations with an additional summand at the right-hand side.

Let us only state the equation for \tilde{u} explicitly

$$(3.70) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{\tilde{u}} - \dot{\Phi}F^{ij}\tilde{u}_{ij} &= v^{-1}(p+1)\tilde{F}^{-p}\Theta^{p-1} - p\tilde{F}^{-(p+1)}F^{ij}\tilde{g}_{ij}\tilde{u}^{-1}\Theta^{p-1} \\ &\quad - n^{-p}\tilde{u}\Theta^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

3.8. Remark. The previous equation—and also any other equation satisfied by a properly rescaled geometric quantity of the flow (3.4)—has a homogeneity of order $(p-1)$ in Θ of the right-hand side. Of course the elliptic part of the differential operator shows the same homogeneity though it is hidden at the moment. Indeed the elliptic part of equation (3.70) can be expressed in the form

$$(3.71) \quad -\dot{\Phi}F^{ij}\tilde{u}_{ij} = -\dot{\Phi}\tilde{u}^{-2}\{F^{ij}\tilde{u}_{ij} - 2F^{ij}\tilde{u}_i\varphi_j + \tilde{u}_k\varphi^k F^{ij}\tilde{g}_{ij}\}\Theta^{p-1}$$

where the spatial covariant derivatives on the right-hand side are now taken with respect to the metric \tilde{g}_{ij} in (3.37), $\varphi = \log u$, and F^{ij} is defined as in (3.39). This observation combined with a simple variable transformation with respect to time will later enable us to immediately deduce higher order estimates once we have proved C^2 -estimates and compactness of the rescaled principal curvatures in the defining cone Γ , in case $0 < p < 1$, resp. in Γ_+ for $p > 1$.

Note that

$$(3.72) \quad F^{ij}g_{ij} = F_i^i$$

is scaling invariant and will satisfy

$$(3.73) \quad n \leq F_i^i \leq c,$$

when the rescaled principal curvatures

$$(3.74) \quad \kappa_i\Theta$$

are compactly contained in the respective cones.

We can now prove that the assumption (3.44) is satisfied.

3.9. Lemma. *Let $0 < p < 1$, then the assumption (3.44) is valid, i.e., there exists a constant c_0 such that*

$$(3.75) \quad F(\tilde{h}_j^i) \geq c_0 > 0,$$

where

$$(3.76) \quad \tilde{h}_j^i = h_j^i\Theta = h_j^i u \tilde{u}^{-1}.$$

Proof. Define

$$(3.77) \quad w = \log(-\dot{\Phi}) + \log \tilde{\chi}$$

and let $0 < T < T^*$ be arbitrary. Applying the maximum principle to w in the cylinder Q_T and assuming that the maximum is attained at a time $t_0 > 0$ we infer from (3.64) and (3.67) that

$$(3.78) \quad 0 \leq (p-1)\tilde{F}^{-p}\tilde{u}^{-1}v\Theta^{p-1} + n^{-p}(1-p)\Theta^{p-1}$$

implying (3.75). □

The same result is also valid in case $p > 1$.

3.10. Lemma. *Let $p > 1$ and assume the relation (3.15), then there exists a constant c_0 such that*

$$(3.79) \quad F(\tilde{h}_j^i) \geq c_0 > 0.$$

Proof. Let $0 < T < T^*$ be arbitrary. Looking at a supremum in Q_T for the function

$$(3.80) \quad w = \log(-\tilde{\Phi}) + \log \tilde{\chi} + \tilde{u}$$

and applying the maximum principle we obtain

$$(3.81) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \leq & \dot{\Phi} F^{ij} (\log(-\tilde{\Phi}))_i (\log(-\tilde{\Phi}))_j - \dot{\Phi} F^{ij} (\log \tilde{\chi})_i (\log \tilde{\chi})_j \\ & + c(p-1) \tilde{F}^{-p} \Theta^{p-1} - p \tilde{F}^{-(p+1)} F^{ij} \tilde{g}_{ij} \tilde{u}^{-1} \Theta^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Ignoring the derivatives for the moment we see that the last term is dominating with the right sign.

To estimate the derivatives we use the fact that $w_i = 0$ and deduce

$$(3.82) \quad \begin{aligned} & \dot{\Phi} F^{ij} (\log(-\tilde{\Phi}))_i (\log(-\tilde{\Phi}))_j - \dot{\Phi} F^{ij} (\log \tilde{\chi})_i (\log \tilde{\chi})_j = \\ & \dot{\Phi} F^{ij} \tilde{u}_i \tilde{u}_j + 2 \dot{\Phi} F^{ij} (\log \tilde{\chi})_i \tilde{u}_j. \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right-hand side is of the order $\tilde{F}^{-(p+1)}$, but $\|D\tilde{u}\|$ vanishes if t tends to T^* , and we shall show in Lemma 4.4 on page 14 that \tilde{F}^{-1} is bounded when t stays in compact subsets of $[0, T^*)$.

The mixed term on the right-hand side of (3.82) is nonpositive since the leaves $M(t)$ are supposed to be strictly convex and χ is equal to

$$(3.83) \quad \chi = \langle x, \nu \rangle^{-1};$$

the claim then follows by using the Weingarten equation. Hence, w is a priori bounded from above and the lemma proved. \square

4. C^2 -ESTIMATES AND MAXIMAL EXISTENCE

In this section we shall prove uniform estimates for the rescaled second fundamental

$$(4.1) \quad \tilde{h}_j^i = h_j^i \Theta^{-1},$$

that in case $0 < p < 1$ the flow exists for all time, and that in case $p > 1$ the maximal time T^* is indeed characterized by a blow up of the flow.

Let us start with the latter result.

4.1. Theorem. *Let $p > 1$ and let the initial hypersurface $M_0 \in C^{m+2, \alpha}$, $4 \leq m \leq \infty$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, be strictly convex, then the solution of the curvature flow*

$$(4.2) \quad \dot{x} = -\Phi \nu$$

exists for $[0, T^)$ and belongs to the parabolic Hölder space $H^{m+2+\alpha, \frac{m+2+\alpha}{2}}(Q)$, where*

$$(4.3) \quad Q = [0, T^*) \times \mathbb{S}^n.$$

The flow (3.4) on page 5 satisfies uniform estimates in this function class as long as it stays in a compact subset $\bar{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Moreover, the principal curvatures are strictly convex

$$(4.4) \quad 0 < c_1 \leq \kappa_i \leq c_2 \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

where the constants c_1, c_2 depend on $\bar{\Omega}$, p , and M_0 . Hence, the singularity T^* is characterized to be the blow up time of the flow, i.e., the relation (3.15) on page 6 is valid.

For the proof we need several lemmata.

4.2. Lemma. *Let M be a closed, convex hypersurface which is represented as the graph of a C^1 -function u over \mathbb{S}^n . Assume that u is bounded by*

$$(4.5) \quad 0 < r_1 \leq u \leq r_2,$$

then

$$(4.6) \quad v \leq c(r_1, r_2).$$

The lemma is proved in [4, Theorem 2.7.10].

4.3. Lemma. *Let $M(t)$ be a solution of the flow equation (3.4) on page 5 for any given $0 < p$, then*

$$(4.7) \quad F \leq \sup_{M_0} F$$

Proof. Use equation (3.64) on page 11 and apply the parabolic maximum principle. \square

4.4. Lemma. *Let $p > 1$ and let $M(t)$ be a solution of the flow (3.4). Let $0 < T < T^*$ be arbitrary and assume*

$$(4.8) \quad u \leq r_2 \quad \forall 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

then there exists a constant c_0 such that

$$(4.9) \quad 0 < c_0 \leq F \quad \forall 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

where $c_0 = c_0(r_2, p, M_0)$ is independent of T .

Proof. The proof is almost identical to the proof of Lemma 3.10 on page 13, the only difference is that this time we omit the scale factor and apply the maximum principle to the function

$$(4.10) \quad w = \log(-\Phi) + \log \chi + u.$$

\square

4.5. Lemma. *Let $p > 1$ and $0 < T < T^*$ be arbitrary; assume that the flow satisfies the estimate (4.8), then there exists a constant c depending on r_2 and M_0 , but not on T , such that the principal curvatures κ_i satisfy*

$$(4.11) \quad \kappa_i \leq c.$$

Proof. The mixed tensor h_j^i satisfies the evolution equation

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{h}_j^i - \dot{\Phi} F^{kl} h_{j;kl}^i &= \dot{\Phi} F^{kl} h_{kr} h_k^r h_j^i + (\Phi - \dot{\Phi} F) h^{ki} h_{kj} \\ &\quad + \ddot{\Phi} F_j F^i + \dot{\Phi} F^{kl,rs} h_{kl;j} h_{rs}^i \end{aligned}$$

cf. [4, Lemma 2.4.3].

Define ζ and w by

$$(4.13) \quad \zeta = \sup\{ h_{ij} \eta^i \eta^j : \|\eta\| = 1 \}$$

and

$$(4.14) \quad w = \log \zeta + \log \chi.$$

We claim that w is bounded from above in Q_T by a constant independent of T .

Let $x_0 = x_0(t_0, \xi_0)$ with $0 < t_0 \leq T$ be a point in $M(t_0)$ such that

$$(4.15) \quad \sup_{M_0} w < \sup\{ \sup_{M(t)} w : 0 < t \leq T \} = w(x_0).$$

We then introduce a Riemannian normal coordinate system (ξ^i) at $x_0 \in M(t_0)$ such that at $x_0 = x(t_0, \xi_0)$ we have

$$(4.16) \quad g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta = h_n^n.$$

Let $\tilde{\eta} = (\tilde{\eta}^i)$ be the contravariant vector field defined by

$$(4.17) \quad \tilde{\eta} = (0, \dots, 0, 1),$$

and set

$$(4.18) \quad \tilde{\zeta} = \frac{h_{ij} \tilde{\eta}^i \tilde{\eta}^j}{g_{ij} \tilde{\eta}^i \tilde{\eta}^j}.$$

$\tilde{\zeta}$ is well defined in neighbourhood of (t_0, ξ_0) .

Now, define \tilde{w} by replacing ζ by $\tilde{\zeta}$ in (4.14); then, \tilde{w} assumes its maximum at (t_0, ξ_0) . Moreover, at (t_0, ξ_0) we have

$$(4.19) \quad \dot{\tilde{\zeta}} = \dot{h}_n^n,$$

and the spatial derivatives do also coincide; in short, at (t_0, ξ_0) $\tilde{\zeta}$ satisfies the same differential equation (4.12) as h_n^n . For the sake of greater clarity, let us therefore treat h_n^n like a scalar and pretend that w is defined by

$$(4.20) \quad w = \log h_n^n + \log \chi.$$

From equations (4.12) and (3.67) on page 11 we then infer

$$(4.21) \quad 0 \leq -(p+1)F^{-p} h_n^n + (p-1)cF^{-p},$$

where we used the concavity of F . The constant c is equal to

$$(4.22) \quad \sup_{Q_T} u^{-1} v;$$

hence, h_n^n is a priori bounded independent of T . \square

It remains to prove a lower positive bound for the κ_i , but this immediately follows from (4.9) and the assumptions (1.4) on page 2. Hence, we can state:

4.6. Lemma. *Let $p > 1$ and let $0 < T < T^*$ be arbitrary; assume that the flow satisfies the estimate (4.8), then there exists a positive constant κ_0 depending on r_2 , T^* , and M_0 , but not on T , such that the principal curvatures are bounded from below by*

$$(4.23) \quad 0 < \kappa_0 \leq \kappa_i \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

We can now prove Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. In the preceding lemmata we proved uniform C^2 -estimates as well as the estimate (4.4) for convex solutions of the flow equations provided the flow stays in a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

The corresponding scalar curvature equation (3.13) on page 6 is then a nonlinear parabolic equation where the elliptic part is concave, and, because of the a priori estimates, uniformly elliptic provided the flow is compactly contained in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Hence, we can apply the Krylov-Safonov estimates yielding uniform Hölder estimates for \dot{u} and D^2u estimates. Now, the linear theory and the parabolic Schauder estimates can be applied; for details see e.g., [4, Chapter 2.6] and [5, Section 6]. \square

Let us now derive corresponding estimates for the rescaled quantities, where at the moment we still assume $p > 1$.

We already know that

$$(4.24) \quad \tilde{u} = u\Theta^{-1}$$

is uniformly bounded from above and against zero, cf. Lemma 3.5 on page 7, that $\|D\tilde{u}\|$ vanishes if t tends to T^* and that

$$(4.25) \quad \tilde{\chi} = \chi\Theta = vu^{-1}\Theta = v\tilde{u}^{-1}$$

is uniformly bounded from below and from above

$$(4.26) \quad 0 < c_1 \leq \tilde{\chi} \leq c_2$$

such that

$$(4.27) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} \tilde{\chi} = 1.$$

Furthermore, we already established $F(\tilde{h}_j^i) \geq c_0$, cf. Lemma 3.10 on page 13; hence, it remains to prove

$$(4.28) \quad 0 < c_1 \leq \tilde{\kappa}_i \leq c_2 \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

where

$$(4.29) \quad \tilde{\kappa}_i = \kappa_i\Theta.$$

Let us also recall the notation

$$(4.30) \quad \tilde{F} = F\Theta = F(\tilde{h}_j^i).$$

4.7. Lemma. *Let $p > 1$ then the rescaled principal curvatures $\tilde{\kappa}_i$ of the flow hypersurfaces are uniformly bounded from above*

$$(4.31) \quad \tilde{\kappa}_i \leq c \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

during the evolution.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 4.5. We define

$$(4.32) \quad \zeta = \sup\{\tilde{h}_{ij}\eta^i\eta^j : \|\eta\| = 1\}$$

and

$$(4.33) \quad w = \log \zeta + \log \tilde{\chi} + \lambda \tilde{u},$$

where $\lambda > 1$ is large.

Fix an arbitrary $0 < T < T^*$ and assume that

$$(4.34) \quad \sup_{M_0} w < \sup\{\sup_{M(t)} w : 0 \leq t \leq T\} = w(x_0),$$

where $x_0 = x(t_0, \xi_0)$ such that $t_0 > 0$.

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 4.5 we may replace ζ by \tilde{h}_n^n ; applying the maximum principle and observing Remark 3.8 on page 12 then yields

$$(4.35) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \leq & -(p+1)\tilde{F}^{-p}\tilde{h}_n^n\Theta^{p-1} + \lambda c\tilde{F}^{-p}\Theta^{p-1} - (\lambda\tilde{u} - 2)n^{-p}\Theta^{p-1} \\ & - \lambda c\tilde{F}^{-(p+1)}F^{ij}g_{ij}\Theta^{p-1} + p\tilde{F}^{-(p+1)}F^{ij}(\log \tilde{h}_n^n)_i(\log \tilde{h}_n^n)_j\Theta^{p-1} \\ & - p\tilde{F}^{-(p+1)}F^{ij}(\log \tilde{\chi})_i(\log \tilde{\chi})_j\Theta^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the two terms involving the derivatives we use the fact that $Dw = 0$ and deduce, abbreviating the difference of the two terms by D ,

$$(4.36) \quad D = p\lambda^2\tilde{F}^{-(p+1)}F^{ij}\tilde{u}_i\tilde{u}_j + 2p\tilde{F}^{-(p+1)}F^{ij}(\log \tilde{\chi})_i\tilde{u}_j\Theta^{p-1}.$$

The last term on the right-hand side is nonpositive, cf. the arguments after equation (3.82) on page 13, while

$$(4.37) \quad F^{ij}\tilde{u}_i\tilde{u}_j \leq F^{ij}g_{ij}\|D\tilde{u}\|^2.$$

Choosing now T close to T^* and assuming $w(x_0)$ to be large enough such that t_0 is close to T and hence $\|D\tilde{u}\|^2$ is very small, the first term on the right-hand side of (4.36) can be absorbed by

$$(4.38) \quad -\lambda c\tilde{F}^{-(p+1)}F^{ij}g_{ij}\Theta^{p-1}$$

and we conclude that w is a priori bounded. \square

4.8. Remark. The estimate (4.31) implies that

$$(4.39) \quad \tilde{F} \leq nc.$$

Proof. Follows immediately from the monotonicity and homogeneity of F . \square

Combining the results of Lemma 4.7 and Lemma 3.10 on page 13 we deduce:

4.9. Lemma. *Let $p > 1$, then the rescaled principal curvatures of the flow hypersurfaces are uniformly positive*

$$(4.40) \quad 0 < c_1 \leq \tilde{\kappa}_i \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Let us now prove corresponding estimates in case $0 < p < 1$.

4.10. Lemma. *Let $0 < p < 1$, then the principal curvatures of the flow hypersurfaces are uniformly bounded during the evolution*

$$(4.41) \quad \kappa_i \leq c \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Proof. The proof is identical to the proof of Lemma 4.5; we then deduce

$$(4.42) \quad \kappa_i \leq c \sup_{M_0} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \kappa_i,$$

since the maximum principle yields

$$(4.43) \quad 0 \leq -(p+1)F^{-p}h_n^n + (p-1)F^{-p}u^{-1}v < 0,$$

if the maximum of w is attained at a time $t_0 > 0$. \square

Combining this estimate with the already known estimates for $|Du|$, \tilde{u} , and F , cf. Lemma 3.3 on page 6, Lemma 3.6 on page 9, and Lemma 3.9 on page 12, we conclude that the flow exists for all time and converges to infinity, see the final argument in the proof of Theorem 4.1 on page 16.

Next, let us estimate the rescaled principal curvatures.

4.11. Lemma. *Let $0 < p < 1$, then the rescaled principal curvatures of the flow hypersurfaces*

$$(4.44) \quad \tilde{\kappa}_i = \kappa_i \Theta$$

are uniformly bounded from above

$$(4.45) \quad \tilde{\kappa}_i \leq c \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 4.7. Define ζ as in (4.32), choose $0 < T < \infty$ very large, and suppose that

$$(4.46) \quad w = \log \zeta + \lambda \log \tilde{\chi} + \mu \tilde{u},$$

where λ and $\mu = \mu(\lambda)$ are large.

Applying the maximum principle in the cylinder Q_T and replacing ζ by \tilde{h}_n^n we obtain

$$(4.47) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \leq & -(p+1)\tilde{F}^{-p}\tilde{h}_n^n\Theta^{p-1} - (\lambda-1)p\tilde{F}^{-(p+1)}F^{ij}\tilde{h}_{ik}\tilde{h}_j^k\Theta^{p-1} \\ & + \lambda c\tilde{F}^{-p}\Theta^{p-1} - (\mu\tilde{u} - \lambda - 1)n^{-p}\Theta^{p-1} \\ & - \mu v^{-2}p\tilde{F}^{-(p+1)}F^{ij}g_{ij}\tilde{u}^{-1}\Theta^{p-1} \\ & + p\tilde{F}^{-(p+1)}F^{ij}(\log \tilde{h}_n^n)_i(\log \tilde{h}_n^n)_j\Theta^{p-1} \\ & - \lambda p\tilde{F}^{-(p+1)}F^{ij}(\log \tilde{\chi})_i(\log \tilde{\chi})_j\Theta^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The critical terms are those containing the derivatives. Let us abbreviate by D the sum of the last two terms of the right-hand side of the preceding inequality. Using the fact that $Dw = 0$ we conclude

$$(4.48) \quad \begin{aligned} D &= (\lambda^2 - \lambda)p\tilde{F}^{-(p+1)}F^{ij}(\log \tilde{\chi})_i(\log \tilde{\chi})_j\Theta^{p-1} \\ &\quad + \mu^2p\tilde{F}^{-(p+1)}F^{ij}\tilde{u}_i\tilde{u}_j - 2\lambda\mu p\tilde{F}^{-(p+1)}F^{ij}(\log \tilde{\chi})_i\tilde{u}_j\Theta^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, there holds

$$(4.49) \quad \tilde{\chi} = \langle x, \nu \rangle^{-1}\Theta$$

and hence

$$(4.50) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\chi}_i &= -\chi^2 h_i^k \langle x_k, x \rangle \Theta \\ &= -\chi^2 h_i^k u_k u \Theta \\ &= -\tilde{\chi}^2 \tilde{h}_i^k \tilde{u}_k \tilde{u}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(4.51) \quad F^{ij}(\log \tilde{\chi})_i(\log \tilde{\chi})_j \leq v^2 F^{ij} \tilde{h}_i^k \tilde{h}_{kj} \|D\tilde{u}\|^2.$$

Thus, D can be absorbed by

$$(4.52) \quad -(\lambda - 1)p\tilde{F}^{-(p+1)}F^{ij}\tilde{h}_{ik}\tilde{h}_j^k\Theta^{p-1} - \mu v^{-2}p\tilde{F}^{-(p+1)}F^{ij}g_{ij}\tilde{u}^{-1}\Theta^{p-1},$$

if t_0 is large enough; hence \tilde{h}_n^n is a priori bounded. \square

Combing this result with the estimate (3.75) on page 12 we deduce:

4.12. Corollary. *Let $0 < p < 1$, then the rescaled principal curvatures of the flow hypersurfaces stay in a compact subset of the defining cone Γ .*

5. CONVERGENCE OF THE RESCALED FLOW

In this section we do not need to distinguish between the cases $p < 1$ and $p > 1$. The results and the arguments are valid for any positive $p \neq 1$.

In the previous sections we have proved uniform estimates for the rescaled flow of class C^2 and we also proved that the rescaled elliptic operator $F\Theta$ is uniformly elliptic, or more precisely:

5.1. Lemma. *The rescaled curvature function*

$$(5.1) \quad F(\tilde{h}_j^i) = F(h_j^i)\Theta$$

represents a uniformly elliptic differential operator for the function $\log \tilde{u}$, where

$$(5.2) \quad \tilde{h}_j^i = v\tilde{u}^{-1}\{-\varphi_j^i + v^{-2}\delta_j^i\},$$

$$(5.3) \quad \varphi = \log u,$$

\tilde{g}_{ij} is the metric defined in (3.37) on page 8, and where the covariant derivatives of φ are with respect to this metric. The rescaled scalar curvature equation (3.13) on page 6 then takes the form

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial t} = vF^{-p}\Theta^{p-1} - n^{-p}\tilde{u}\Theta^{p-1},$$

where $F = F(\tilde{h}_j^i)$.

Defining $\tau = \tau(t)$ by the relation

$$(5.5) \quad \frac{d\tau}{dt} = \Theta^{p-1}$$

such that $\tau(0) = 0$ we conclude that τ ranges from 0 to ∞ and \tilde{u} satisfies

$$(5.6) \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tau} = vF^{-p} - n^{-p}\tilde{u},$$

or equivalently, with $\tilde{\varphi} = \log \tilde{u}$

$$(5.7) \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \tau} = v\tilde{u}^{-1}F^{-p} - n^{-p}.$$

Since the spatial derivatives of $\tilde{\varphi}$ are identical to those φ equation (5.7) is a nonlinear parabolic equation with a uniformly elliptic and concave operator F . Hence we can apply the Krylov-Safonov estimates and thereafter the parabolic Schauder estimates to conclude:

5.2. Theorem. *The rescaled flow*

$$(5.8) \quad \tilde{x}' = F^{-p}\nu - n^{-p}\tilde{x},$$

where $\tilde{x} = x\Theta^{-1}$ and a prime indicates the differentiation with respect to τ , note that $\tilde{x} = \tilde{x}(\tau, \xi)$, exists for all time and the leaves converge in $C^{m+2, \beta}(\mathbb{S}^n)$, $0 \leq m \leq \infty$, $0 < \beta < \alpha$, to a sphere of radius 1 provided that $M_0 \in C^{m+2, \alpha}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, is a star-shaped admissible initial hypersurface and the curvature function F is of class $C^{m, \alpha}$, where we note that in case $1 < p < \infty$ we assume $\Gamma = \Gamma_+$ such that the admissible hypersurfaces are strictly convex.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bennett Chow and Robert Gulliver, *Aleksandrov reflection and nonlinear evolution equations. I. The n -sphere and n -ball*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **4** (1996), no. 3, 249–264, [doi:10.1007/s005260050038](https://doi.org/10.1007/s005260050038).
- [2] Claus Gerhardt, *Flow of nonconvex hypersurfaces into spheres*, J. Diff. Geom. **32** (1990), 299–314, [pdf file](#).
- [3] ———, *Closed Weingarten hypersurfaces in space forms*, Geometric Analysis and the Calculus of Variations (Jürgen Jost, ed.), International Press, Boston, 1996, [pdf file](#), pp. 71–98.
- [4] ———, *Curvature Problems*, Series in Geometry and Topology, vol. 39, International Press, Somerville, MA, 2006.
- [5] ———, *Curvature flows in semi-Riemannian manifolds*, Geometric Flows (Huai-Dong Cao and Shing-Tung Yau, eds.), Surveys in Differential Geometry, vol. XII, International Press of Boston, Somerville, MA, 2008, [arXiv:0704.0236](https://arxiv.org/abs/0704.0236), pp. 113–165.

- [6] Gerhard Huisken, *Flow by mean curvature of convex surfaces into spheres*, J. Differential Geom. **20** (1984), no. 1, 237–266.
- [7] Qi-Rui Li, *Surfaces expanding by the power of the Gauss curvature flow*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), no. 11, 4089–4102, 10.1090/S0002-9939-2010-10431-8.
- [8] James McCoy, *The surface area preserving mean curvature flow*, Asian J. Math. **7** (2003), no. 1, 7–30.
- [9] O.C. Schnürer, *Surfaces expanding by the inverse Gauß curvature flow*, J. reine angew. Math. **600** (2006), 117–134, [arXiv:math/0412297](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0412297).
- [10] John I. E. Urbas, *An expansion of convex hypersurfaces*, J. Differential Geom. **33** (1991), no. 1, 91–125.

RUPRECHT-KARLS-UNIVERSITÄT, INSTITUT FÜR ANGEWANDTE MATHEMATIK, IM NEUENHEIMER FELD 294, 69120 HEIDELBERG, GERMANY

E-mail address: gerhardt@math.uni-heidelberg.de

URL: <http://www.math.uni-heidelberg.de/studinfo/gerhardt/>