Existence and Regularity of Capillary Surfaces.

CLAUS GERHARDT (Mainz, Germany)

Sunto. – Si prova che il problema variazionale

$$\int_{\Omega} (1+|Dv|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx + \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} H(x,t) dt dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \kappa v dH_{n-1} \to \min$$

in $BV(\Omega)$ ha una soluzione $u \in C^{0,1}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ nell'ipotesi che H, \varkappa e $\partial \Omega$ verifichino opportune condizioni.

0. – Introduction.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \ge 2$, be a bounded domain and let

$$A = -D^{i}(a_{i}(p)) (1)$$

be the minimal surface operator, i.e.

,

$$a_i(p) = p^i (1 + |p|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The classical problem of capillarity [9] consists in determining a function $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ such that

(1)
$$Au + cu = 0$$
 in Ω

and

(2)
$$D^{i}u \cdot v_{i} = \varkappa (1 + |Du|^{2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{on } \partial \mathcal{Q},$$

where v_i are the components of the outward normal vector at $\partial \Omega$, and c and \varkappa are given constants such that

(3)
$$c > 0$$
 and $|\varkappa| \leq 1$.

(1) Here and in the following repeated indices will denote summation over them from 1 to n.

CLAUS GERHARDT

If there exists a solution $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ of problem (1) and (2), then this solution also minimizes the functional

(4)
$$I(v) := \int_{\Omega} (1 + |Dv|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx + o \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx - \varkappa \int_{\partial\Omega} v d\mathcal{R}_{n-1}$$

in the function class $BV(\Omega)$ (see Appendix I for the definition of $BV(\Omega)$ and for some properties of its elements).

In a recent paper M. EMMER [4] has proved that the functional I has a minimum in $BV(\Omega)$ which is locally bounded provided that $|\varkappa| < (1 + L^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, where L is a constant which depends on the boundary of Ω . Moreover, by a result due to MASSARI [8] he could show that u is real analytic in the interior of Ω for $n \leq 6$.

In this paper we shall consider a slightly more general variational problem in $BV(\Omega)$, namely, we want to minimize the functional

(5)
$$J(v) := \int_{\Omega} (1 + |Dv|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx + \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{v} H(x, t) dt dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \kappa v d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}$$

where \varkappa belongs to $L^{\infty}(\partial \Omega)$, $|\varkappa|_{\partial \Omega} \leq 1$, and $H \in C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the conditions

(6)
$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} > 0$$

and

(7)
$$\begin{cases} H(x, k_0) > 1 + c_1, \\ H(x, -k_0) < -(1 + c_1), \end{cases}$$

for some $k_0 \ge 0$, where c_1 is a constant which appears in the following.

The main theorem which we shall prove is

THEOREM 1. – Suppose that $\partial \Omega$ is of class C^2 and that $|\varkappa|_{\partial \Omega} \leq \langle 1-a, a \rangle 0$. Then the variational problem

8)
$$J(v) \rightarrow \min$$
 in $BV(\Omega)$
(
has a solution $u \in C^{0,1}(\Omega) \cap H^{1,1}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

When writing the manuscript I heard from R. FINN that P. CONCUS and he have considered a similar problem. They have shown that a solution $u \in C^{0,1}(\Omega)$ of the equation

$$Au + H(x, u) = 0$$
 in Ω

is bounded in $\overline{\Omega}$ provided that $\partial \Omega$ satisfies an internal sphere condition, i.e. for any boundary point $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$, there is a ball B of fixed radius such that $B \subset \Omega$ and $x_0 \in \partial B$. The result of Concus and Finn does not seem to apply in its present form to that step in the existence proof. Moreover, our method is also applicable in the case of Lipschitz domains, using Lemma 1.1 in [4], with the natural restriction on $|\varkappa|$, instead of Lemma 1 below.

Applying the results of Concus and Finn one might show by approximation that Theorem 1 remains valid under the natural condition $|\varkappa|_{\partial Q} \leq 1$.

1. – A priori estimate for |u|.

Since CONCUS and FINN have shown in [1] that in general one cannot expect a bounded solution of (8) if $\partial \Omega$ has vertices, the a priori estimate will depend on the fact that we assumed $\partial \Omega$ to be smooth. In this case we can prove the following lemma (compare [4]; Lemma 1.1).

LEMMA 1. – Let $v \in BV(\Omega)$ and suppose that $\partial \Omega$ is of class C^2 satisfying an internal sphere condition of radius R. Then we have

(9)
$$\int_{\partial\Omega} |v| \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \ll \int_{\Omega} |Dv| \, dx + c_1 \int_{\Omega} |v| \, dx$$

where

$$(10) c_1 = \frac{2n}{R}.$$

PROOF. – In view of our assumption the distance function d,

$$d(x) = \operatorname{dist} (x, \partial \Omega) ,$$

belongs to $H^{2,\infty}(\Omega_{R/2})$, where $\Omega_{R/2}$ is the boundary strip $\{x \in \Omega: d(x) < R/2\}$. Moreover, we have the relation (cf. [13]; Chap. 1.3)

(11)
$$-\Delta d(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{k_i(y)}{1 - k_i(y) d(x)},$$

where k_i are the components of the normal curvature vector of that point $y \in \partial \Omega$ having smallest distance to x. From the interior sphere

condition we derive $k_i \leq 1/R$. Hence, we have

(12)
$$\frac{k_i}{1-k_1d} < \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k_i < 0, \\ \frac{2}{R} & \text{if } 0 < k_i < \frac{1}{R} \end{cases}$$

Thus, we deduce the estimate

(13)
$$-\Delta d(x) \leq \frac{2(n-1)}{R} \qquad \forall x \in \Omega_{R/2}$$

In order to prove the inequality (9) we may restrict ourselves to the case $v \ge 0$. Assuming this, we get by partial integration (cf. Appendix I, Lemma A3)

(13a)
$$-\int_{\Omega_{R/s}} \Delta d \cdot v \cdot (R/2 - d) \, dx = -\int_{\Omega_{R/s}} v \, dx + \int_{\Omega_{R/s}} (R/2 - d) \, D^i d \cdot D^i v \, dx + R/2 \int_{\partial \Omega} v \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \, .$$

Hence,

(13b)
$$\int_{\partial\Omega} v \, dH_{n-1} \leq \int_{\Omega} |Dv| dx + \int_{\Omega_{R/2}} \left\{ \max\left(-\Delta d, 0\right) + \frac{2}{R} \right\} \cdot v \, dx$$

from which the assertion follows.

With the help of Lemma 1 we immediately get

LEMMA 2. – Let $\partial \Omega$ be of class C^2 and $|\varkappa| \leq 1 - a$, a > 0. Then a solution $u \in BV(\Omega)$ of the variational problem (8) is absolutely bounded by some constant c_2 , which depends on a, c_1 , Ω , and the structure of H.

PROOF. - Let $k \ge 0$ be a real number and set $A(k) := \{x \in \Omega : u(x) > k\}$. Since $v := \min(u, k)$ belongs to $BV(\Omega)$ we obtain from the minimum property of u

$$(14) J(u) \leqslant J(v)$$

and hence

(15)
$$\int_{\Omega} |D \max(u-k,0)| \, dx + \int_{\mathcal{A}(k)} \int_{k}^{u} H(x,t) \, dt \, dx - \int_{\partial \Omega} \varkappa \max(u-k,0) \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} < |\mathcal{A}(k)| \ (^{2})$$

(see Lemma A4 in Appendix I for the verification of (15).)

(2) For any measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, |E| denotes its Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^n .

EXISTENCE AND REGULARITY OF CAPILLARY SURFACES

Setting $w := u - v = \max(u - k, 0)$, we get from Lemma 1

(16)
$$\left| \int_{\partial \Omega} x w \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \right| \leq (1-a) \int_{\Omega} |Dw| \, dx + (1-a) c_1 \int_{\Omega} w \, dx \, dx$$

Combining the inequalities (15) and (16) we obtain

(17)
$$a \int_{\Omega} |Dw| \, dx + \int_{A(k)} \int_{k}^{u} \{H(x, t) - c_1\} \, dt \, dx < |A(k)|$$

or finally

(18)
$$a \int_{\Omega} |Dw| dx + \inf_{x \in \Omega} \{H(x, k) - c_1\} \int_{A(k)} (u-k) dx \leq |A(k)|.$$

Since $\partial \Omega$ is regular we have the following Sobolev imbedding result:

Any function $v \in BV(\Omega)$ belongs to $L^{n/n-1}(\Omega)$, and

(19)
$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^{n/n-1} dx \right)^{(n-1)/n} \leq c_3 \cdot \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |Dv| dx + \int_{\Omega} |v| dx \right\}.$$

Taking v = w in (19) we get from (18)

(20)
$$a/c_{s}\left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^{n/n-1} dx\right)^{(n-1)/n} + \inf_{x \in \Omega} \{H(x, k) - c_{1} - a\} \cdot \int_{A(k)} (u-k) dx \leq |A(k)|$$

From the assumption (7) and using the Hölder inequalities we therefore obtain for $k \ge k_0$

(21)
$$\int_{A(k)} (u-k) \, dx < c_4 \cdot |A(k)|^{1+1/n}, \quad c_4 > 0,$$

and hence

(22)
$$|h-k| \cdot |A(h)| \leq c_4 \cdot |A(k)|^{1+1/n}$$
 for $h > k$.

From a lemma due to STAMPACCHIA [14; Lemma 4.1] we now conclude that

$$u \leq k_0 + c_4 \cdot |\Omega|^{1/n} \cdot 2^{(n+1)}$$
.

In order to get a lower bound for u, we set $v := \max(u, -k)$ in (14). Then one could complete the proof of Lemma 2 by similar conclusions, which will be omitted.

2. – Existence of a solution in $BV(\Omega)$.

We shall show that under the assumptions of Theorem 1 the variational problem (8) has a solution in $BV(\Omega)$.

Let v_{ϵ} be a minimizing sequence

(23)
$$J(v_s) \to \inf_{v \in B^{\gamma}(\Omega)} J(v) \leq J(0) =: c_5.$$

From Lemma 1 we conclude that

(24)
$$a\!\!\int_{\Omega} |Dv_s| \,dx + \!\!\int_{\Omega} \left\{ \int_{0}^{v_s} H(x,t) \,dt - c_1 |v_s| \right\} dx \leqslant c_5 \,.$$

Hence, we easily derive from the assumption (7)

(25)
$$\int_{\Omega} |Dv_s| \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |v_s| \, dx < c_s \, .$$

From [12; Theorem XVI], the Sobolev imbedding theorem, and [11; Theorem 2.1.3] we then conclude that the sequence v_s is precompact in any $L^p(\Omega)$, $1 \le p < n/n - 1$. Since the functional J is lower semicontinuous with respect to a minimizing sequence in $BV(\Omega)$ (see Appendix II), a subsequence of v_s converges to some element $u \in BV(\Omega)$, which is a solution of problem (8).

REMARK 1. – Since we assume $H(x, \cdot)$ to be strictly increasing a.e., the variational problem (8) has a unique solution. Or more precisely, if $x \leq x'$, and u, u' are the respective solutions of (8) according to the functionals J, J' then $u \leq u'$.

PROOF OF REMARK 1. – From the strict monotonicity of $H(x, \cdot)$ we deduce

(26) $J(u) < J(\min(u, u'))$ or $u = \min(u, u')$

and

(27)
$$J'(u') < J'(\max(u, u'))$$
 or $u' = \max(u, u')$.

Combining these relations and using the fact that

(28)
$$-\int_{\partial\Omega} \varkappa (u - \min (u, u')) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \ge \int_{\partial\Omega} \varkappa' (u' - \max (u, u')) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}$$

it follows from (26) or (27) that $u = \min(u, u')$.

3. – Regularity of solutions in $BV(\Omega)$.

The regularity of u will follow from a general theorem concerning the regularity of solutions $w \in BV(\Omega)$ of the variational problem

(29)
$$L(v) := \int_{\Omega} (1 + |Dv|^2)^{1/2} dx + \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{v} H(x, t) dt dx + j(v) ,$$

where j(v) denotes a boundary term continuous in $L^{1}(\partial \Omega)$, e.g.

(30)
$$j(v) = \int_{\partial \Omega} |v - f| \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}, \qquad f \in L^1(\partial \Omega)$$

or

(31)
$$j(v) = \int_{\partial \Omega} \varkappa v \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}$$

THEOREM 2. – Let w be a bounded (3) solution in $BV(\Omega)$ of the variational problem (29). Suppose that $H \in C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ is strictly increasing in t. Then w is locally Lipschitz in Ω .

PROOF. – We shall use the results of SERRIN [13] concerning the existence of surfaces of prescribed mean curvature together with the a priori estimates of LADYZHENSKAYA and URALTSEVA [7].

Without loss of generality we may assume H to be bounded in Ω (4). Then for R sufficiently small, $R < R_0$, we can solve the Dirichlet problem in any Ball $B \subset \subset \Omega$ of radius R

(32)
$$\begin{cases} Av_s + H(x, v_s) = 0 & \text{in } B, \\ v_{e|\partial B} = w_{e|\partial B}, \end{cases}$$

(3) If H = H(x) or $H(x, t) = c \cdot t$, c > 0, then it suffices to assume w to be locally bounded.

(4) Choose e.g. $H_k := \min(H, k) + \max(H, -k) - H$. Then $H(x,u) = H_k(x, u)$ if k is large enough.

where w_{ε} is a mollification of w. From the results of Serrin we conclude that (32) has a solution $v_{\varepsilon} \in C^{-}(\overline{B})$ such that

(33)
$$|v_s|_B \leq c_7 = c_7(|w|_B, R, |H|)$$
.

From the a priori estimates of Ladyzhenskaya and Uraltseva we then deduce

$$(34) |Dv_{\varepsilon}|_{\Omega} \leq c_{\varepsilon} = c_{\varepsilon}(c_{\tau}, |DH|, \Omega') for \ \Omega' \subset \subset B.$$

Moreover, we know that v_e minimizes the functional

(35)
$$I_{s}(v) := \int_{B} (1 + |Dv|)^{1/2} + \int_{B} \int_{0}^{v} H(x, t) dt dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} |v - w_{s}| d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}$$

in BV(B).

Hence we have the inequality

(36)
$$\int_{B} (1+|Dv_{s}|^{2})^{1/2} dx + \int_{B} \int_{0}^{v_{s}} H(x,t) dt dx < \\ < \int_{B} (1+|Dw_{s}|^{2})^{1/2} dx + \int_{B} \int_{0}^{w_{s}} H(x,t) dt dx.$$

Setting

(37)
$$\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon} := \begin{cases} v_{\varepsilon} & \text{in } B, \\ w_{\varepsilon} & \text{in } \Omega - B, \end{cases}$$

we derive in view of (36)

$$(38) L(\tilde{v}_s) \leqslant L(w_s) .$$

From Appendix I, Lemma A1 and Lemma A2, and from Lebesgue's theorem of dominated convergence we conclude, that the right side of (38) tends to L(w), if ε goes to zero. From the estimates (33), (34), and from the definition (37) we conclude, that the v_e 's converge in $BV(\Omega)$ to some element v_0 which is locally Lipschitz in B and agrees with w in $\Omega - \overline{B}$. Moreover, we immediately derive on account of Lemma A2 in Appendix I that

(39)
$$L(v_0) \leq \liminf L(v_s) \leq L(w) .$$

Hence, v_0 equals to w, since $v_{0|\partial\Omega} = w_{|\partial\Omega}$ and the variational problem (29) has no distinct solutions with equal boundary values. As we mentioned in the Introduction P. CONCUS and R. FINN proved a priori estimates for the modulus of solutions to the equation

$$Au + H(x, u) = 0$$
 in Ω

provided that $\partial \Omega$ satisfies an internal sphere condition.

In order to prove Lemma 1, which is the key lemma in the existence proof, we made the more restrictive assumption that $\partial \Omega$ should be of class C^2 . However, we shall show that a conclusion similar to that of Lemma 1 is valid in the more general case.

REMARK 2. – Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with Lipschitz boundary $\partial \Omega$ which satisfies an internal sphere condition of radius R. Then

(40)
$$\int_{\partial\Omega} |v| d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \leq \int_{\Omega_{R/2}} |Dv| dx + c_1 \cdot \int_{\Omega} |v| dx \qquad \forall v \in BV(\Omega)$$

where c_1 depends on n, R, and $\partial \Omega$.

PROOF OF REMARK 2. – Let Γ be an relatively open subset of $\partial \Omega$ which is representable as the graph of a Lipschitz function φ defined on some open subset V_r of \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , $V_r = \{x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : |x'| < r\}$, such that $0 < \varphi \leq \alpha$, and for $\beta > \alpha$ let

(41)
$$U_{r,\beta} = \{ (x', x^n) \colon x' \in V_r, \ \varphi(x') < x^n < \beta \} \subset \Omega_{R/2} .$$

Furthermore, choose two positive numbers r_1 , r_2 with $r_1 < r_2 < r$, and let φ^{ε} be a mollification of φ with a mollifier η . Then φ^{ε} is well defined in V_{r_1} if ε is sufficiently small and

(42)
$$U^{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{r}_{2},\beta'} = \{(x',x^{n}) \colon x' \in V_{\mathbf{r}_{2}}, \, \varphi^{\varepsilon}(x') < x^{n} < \beta'\} \subset \Omega_{\mathbb{R}/2}$$

for some β' , $\alpha < \beta' < \beta$, independent of ε .

We shall show that the principal curvatures k_i^{ϵ} of $\Gamma_e = \operatorname{graph} \varphi^{e}_{|r_{r_1}}$ (with respect to the internal normal vector) are bounded from above by some constant c_0 which depends on R and the Lipschitz constant of φ .

Let $x'_0 \in V$, be given and let L be the Lipschitz constant of φ . In view of the interior sphere condition there exists a ball B with radius R such that $B \subset \Omega$ and $x_0 = (x'_0, \varphi(x'_0)) \in \partial B$. Since φ is Lipschitz, a part of the sphere containing x_0 might be represented as the graph of a C^2 function f defined in a suitable neighbourhood V of x'_0 . Thus, we obtain

(43)
$$\varphi(x'_0) = f(x'_0)$$
 and $\varphi(x') \leq f(x') \quad \forall x' \in V$.

Let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ be an arbitrary unit vector and let t > 0 be sufficiently small. Then, we deduce from (43)

(44)
$$f(x'_0 + t\xi) - f(x'_0) \ge \varphi(x'_0 + t\xi) - \varphi(x'_0) \ge -t \cdot L,$$

hence

$$Df(x_0') \cdot \xi \ge -L$$

which implies

$$(46) |Df(x'_0)| \leq L$$

since ξ was arbitrary.

Therefore, we conclude that the second derivatives of f are bounded by a constant depending on R and L, independent of x'_0 .

Now, let $h \neq 0$ be any vector in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} of sufficiently small norm and set for any function v

(47)
$$v_h(x') = \frac{1}{|h|^2} \cdot \{v(x'+h) - 2v(x') + v(x'-h)\}.$$

In view of (43) and (46) we deduce that there are some positive number h_0 and a constant c_0 such that for any $x' \in V_{r_0}$ we have

(48)
$$\varphi_h(x') \leq f_h(x') \leq c_0 \quad \forall h \neq 0, \ |h| < h_0,$$

where only f depends on x' but not c_0 .

Thus, for sufficiently small ε , we derive

(49)
$$\varphi_{h}^{\varepsilon}(x') = \int_{|z| \leq 1} \eta(z) \varphi_{h}(x' + \varepsilon z) \, dz < c_{0} \qquad \forall x' \in V_{r_{1}}.$$

Moreover, let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ be any unit vector and set

(50)
$$h = t \cdot \xi \quad \text{with } 0 < t < h_0.$$

Inserting h into (49) and letting t go to zero this yields

$$(51) D^2 \varphi_s(x') \xi^2 \leqslant c_0 \forall x' \in V_{r_1}.$$

Throughout the rest of the proof of Remark 2 let us observe that the indices which will appear run from 1 to n-1. To compute the principal curvature k_i^{ε} of Γ_{ε} at $x = (x', \varphi^{\varepsilon}(x'))$, we set

(52)
$$x_i = D^i x = \left(\delta_i, D^i \varphi^e(x')\right),$$

where $\delta_i = (\delta^{ij})_{j=1,\dots,n-1}$, and

(53)
$$g_{ik} = x_i \cdot x_k = \delta^{ik} + D^i \varphi^s \cdot D^k \varphi^s$$

Furthermore, let $n = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n)$ be the internal normal vector at x and define L_{ik} by

$$(54) L_{ik} = \boldsymbol{n} \cdot D^k x_i = \alpha_n D^i D^k \varphi^s$$

where we observe that $\alpha_n \ge \alpha^* > 0$, since Γ° is a Lipschitz graph.

Then, the principal curvatures k_i^{ϵ} of Γ^{ϵ} at x are the extrema of the quadratic form

$$(55) \qquad \qquad \xi \to L_{ik} \xi^i \xi^k$$

subject to the constraints

$$(56) g_{ik} \cdot \xi^i \xi^k = 1 .$$

Therefore, since

(57)
$$g_{ik}\xi^i\xi^k = |\xi|^2 + |D\varphi^s\cdot\xi|^2 \gg |\xi|^2$$

and

$$(58) 0 < \alpha_n \leq 1$$

we derive in view of (51) and (54)

(59)
$$k_i^s \leqslant c_0 \qquad \forall x' \in V_r, .$$

To complete the proof of the remark, we observe that in view of (59) and [13; Chapter 1.3] there is some positive number γ , $\alpha < \gamma < \beta'$, where γ is independent of ε , such that the distance function $d_{\varepsilon}(x) = \text{dist}(x, \Gamma_{\varepsilon})$ is of class C^2 in

(60)
$$U_{r_{1,\gamma}}^{s} = \{(x', x^{n}) \colon x' \in V_{r_{1}}, \varphi^{s}(x') < x^{n} < \gamma\}$$

for sufficiently small values of ε and that

(61)
$$- \Delta d_{\varepsilon} \leqslant c = c(n, c_0, \gamma)$$

taking the relation (13) into account.

Now, let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open such that $U \cap \Omega \subset U_{r_{1,\gamma'}}$, for some fixed γ' , $\alpha < \gamma' < \gamma$, and let v > 0 be a smooth function whose support is contained in U. Then, using integration by parts we obtain

(62)
$$\int_{\mathcal{D}_{r_{1,\gamma}}^{\varepsilon}} -\Delta d_{\varepsilon} \cdot v dx = \int_{\sigma_{r_{1,\gamma}}^{\varepsilon}} \sum_{\substack{v \in I \\ r_{r_{1,\gamma}}}} D^{\varepsilon} d_{\varepsilon} \cdot D^{\varepsilon} v dx + \int_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}} v d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}$$

for small values of ε . Hence, we conclude from (61)

(63)
$$\int_{r_{\varepsilon}} v \, d\mathcal{J} \mathcal{C}_{n-1} \leqslant \int_{r_{1,\gamma}} |Dv| \, dx + c \cdot \int_{v_{r_{1,\gamma}}} v \, dx \, .$$

Taking the limit on both sides this yields

(64)
$$\int_{\partial\Omega} v \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} = \int_{\Gamma} v \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \ll \int_{\Omega_{R/2}} |Dv| \, dx + c \cdot \int_{\Omega} v \, dx \; .$$

Finally, we let U_k , k = 1, ..., m be a finite covering of $\partial \Omega$ by open sets of the kind we described above, and we let φ_k , k = 1, ..., m, be a subordinate partition of unity by smooth functions φ_k such that

(65)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \varphi_k(x) = 1 \qquad \forall x \in \partial \Omega .$$

Let $v \ge 0$ be an arbitrary smooth function. Then, applying the estimate (64) to $\varphi_k \cdot v$ and summing over k we obtain

(66)
$$\int_{\partial\Omega} v \, dH_{n-1} \leq \int_{\Omega_{R/2}} |Dv| dx + \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m \sup |D\varphi_k| + c \right\} \int_{\Omega} v \, dx \; .$$

The estimate (40) now follows by approximation in view of the Lemmata A1 and A2 in Appendix I. **REMARK** 3. – If we take $H(x, t) = c \cdot t$, c > 0, then we may also solve the variational problem (8) when the volume V is prescribed

$$V = \int_{\Omega} v \, dx = \text{const} \; .$$

THEOREM 3. – Under the assumptions stated above the variational problem

(67)
$$J(v) \to \min \quad in \ BV(\Omega) \cap \left\{ \int_{\Omega} v \, dx = V \right\}$$

has a unique solution $u^* \in C^{0,1}(\Omega) \cap H^{1,1}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

PROOF. – Let u be the solution of (8). Define u^* by

$$(68) u^* := u + \lambda$$

where λ is a real number such that the volume of u^* equals to V. Let v be a function in $BV(\Omega)$ with volume V. Then

(69)
$$J(u^*) = J(u) + c/2 \int_{\Omega} (2u\lambda + \lambda^2) \, dx - \lambda \cdot \int_{\partial\Omega} \varkappa \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \leqslant \langle J(v-\lambda) + c/2 \int_{\Omega} (2u\lambda + \lambda^2) \, dx - \lambda \cdot \int_{\partial\Omega} \varkappa \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} = J(v)$$

as one easily checks from the definition of λ . The uniqueness of the solution follows from the Remark 1.

Appendix I.

ż

We present here the definition of $BV(\Omega)$ and some properties of its elements. We assume throughout the following that Ω is a bounded, open set in \mathbb{R}^n with Lipschitz boundary $\partial\Omega$.

DEF. - $BV(\Omega) := \{v \in L^1(\Omega) : D^i v \text{ is a bounded Radon measure}$ on Ω , $i = 1, ..., n\}$.

1) Every $v \in BV(\Omega)$ has a trace t(v) on $\partial \Omega$, such that $t(v) \in L^1(\partial \Omega)$. For brevity we shall write v instead of t(v).

If (A, w) is a local boundary neighbourhood of $\partial \Omega$, such that A is an open set in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} and $w: A \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a Lipschitz map with $\inf w(A) > 0$, and such that

$$\Omega' := \{(x, y) : x \in A, \ 0 < y < w(x)\} \subset \Omega$$

and

$$S:=\{(x, w(x)): x\in A\}\subset \partial \Omega,$$

then we have the following Green's formula for $v \in BV(\Omega)$

(A1)
$$\int_{\Omega'} v D^i g_i dx + \int_{\Omega'} g_i D^i v dx = \int_s v g_i v_i d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \qquad \forall g_i \in C^1_o(A \times \mathbb{R}_+),$$

where v_i are the components of the outward normal vector at S, and where we have written $\int_{\Omega'} g_i D^i v dx$ instead of $\int_{\Omega'} g_i d(D^i v)$ (see [10; Theorem 1]).

2) By a trace theorem due to GAGLIARDO [5] every $v \in BV(\Omega)$ can be extended to $\tilde{v} \in BV(B)$, where B is a ball, $\Omega \subset B$, such that $\tilde{v}_{|\partial B} = 0$ and $\tilde{v}_{|\partial \Omega} = v$. Hence

(A2)
$$\int_{\partial \Omega} |Dv| \, dx = 0 \; .$$

|Dv| is the total variation of (D^1v, \ldots, D^nv) . For any open set $A \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\int_{A} |Dv| \, dx := \sup \left\{ \int_{A} v D^{i} g_{i} \, dx \colon g_{i} \in C^{1}_{c}(A), \, |(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n})| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

(see [10; Theorem 2]).

3) For any $v \in BV(\Omega)$ $D^i v$, i = 1, ..., n, is a measure on $C^0(\overline{\Omega})$.

PROOF. - From [3; (13.9.17)] it follows that

(A3)
$$\left| \int_{\Omega} g D^{i} v \, dx \right| \leq \|g\|_{\infty} \cdot \int_{\Omega} |D^{i} v| \, dx$$

for any $g \in C^0(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. The conclusion is now evident in view of (A2).

LEMMA A1. – Let $v \in BV(\Omega)$ and v_s be a mollification (5). Then

(A4a)
$$\int_{\Omega} (1+|Dv_{\varepsilon}|^{2})^{1/2} dx \to \int_{\Omega} (1+|Dv|^{2})^{2/1} dx ,$$

$$(A4b) \qquad \qquad \int_{\Omega} |Dv_s| \, dx \to \int_{\Omega} |Dv| \, dx \, dx$$

(⁵) The mollification is possible in view of point 2).

PROOF. – We shall only prove (A4b). From the definition of the total variation we immediately get

(A5)
$$\int_{\Omega} |Dv_{\varepsilon}| dx \leq \int_{\Omega+\varepsilon} |Dv| dx .$$

The assertion now follows from the lower semicontinuity of the total variation and from (A2).

LEMMA A2. – Let $v \in BV(\Omega)$ and v_{ε} be a mollification. Then

(A6)
$$v_s \to v$$
 in $L^1(\partial \Omega)$.

PROOF. - From the proof of Lemma 1.1 in [4] we may conclude that

$$(A7) \qquad \int_{\partial\Omega} |v_{\varepsilon} - v| \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \leq (1 + L^2)^{1/2} \cdot \int_{\Omega_{\delta}} |D(v_{\varepsilon} - v)| \, dx + \gamma(\delta, \, \partial\Omega) \int_{\Omega} |v_{\varepsilon} - v| \, dx \,,$$

where Ω_{δ} is a boundary strip of width δ and L is a constant depending on $\partial \Omega$. Hence we obtain from (A5) and from known properties of the mollification

(A8)
$$\limsup_{\partial \Omega} \int |v_s - v| \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \leq (1 + L^2)^{1/2} \cdot 2 \cdot \int |Dv| \, dx \, .$$

In view of (A2) the right side of (A8) converges to zero.

LEMMA A3. – The following generalization of formula (A1) is valid for $v \in BV(\Omega)$

(A9)
$$\int_{\Omega} v D^{i} g_{i} dx + \int_{\Omega} g_{i} D^{i} v dx = \int_{\partial \Omega} v g_{i} v_{i} d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \qquad \forall g_{i} \in C^{0,1}(\overline{\Omega}) .$$

PROOF. – Let v_s be a mollification of v, then

(A10)
$$\int_{\Omega} v_s D^i g_i dx + \int_{\Omega} g_i D^i v_s dx = \int_{\partial \Omega} v_s g_i v_i d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \quad \forall g_i \in C^{0,1}(\overline{\Omega}) .$$

From point 3) we know that for each $i, i = 1, ..., n, D^i v_s$ is a bounded sequence of measures on $C^0(\overline{\Omega})$. Hence, a subsequence converges weakly to some Radon measure μ_i on $C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ (compare

[3; (13.4.2)]), so that in view of Lemma A2

(A11)
$$\int_{\Omega} v D^{i} g_{i} dx + \int_{\overline{\Omega}} g_{i} d\mu_{i} = \int_{\partial \Omega} v g_{i} v_{i} d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}.$$

Let us show that $\mu_i \equiv D^i v$. If we choose g_i as in (A1) then the combination of (A1) and (A11) gives

(A12)
$$\int_{\Omega} g_i D^i v \, dx = \int_{\overline{\Omega}} g_i \, d\mu_i \, .$$

Moreover, an easy calculation shows that the $D^i v$'s agree with the measures μ_i on $C^1_c(\Omega)$. Thus

(A13)
$$\int_{\Omega} g_i D^i v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} g_i \, d\mu_i$$

for all g_i 's which appear in formula (A1) (see [3; (13.9.19)]). From (A12) and (A13) we finally obtain

(A14)
$$\int_{\partial Q} g_i \, d\mu_i = 0 ,$$

hence

(A15)
$$\int_{\partial \Omega} |d\mu| = 0$$

which implies $\mu_i = D^i v$ in view of (A13).

LEMMA A4. – Let $u \in BV(\Omega)$ and k a real number. Then min (u, k) belongs to $BV(\Omega)$ and the following relations are valid

(A15a)
$$\int_{\Omega} |D \max(u-k,0)| \, dx - |A(k)| < < \int_{\Omega} (1+|Du|^2)^{1/2} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} (1+|D \min(u,k)|^2)^{1/2} \, dx$$

and

(A15b)
$$u - \min(u, k) = \max(u - k, 0)$$
 in $L^1(\partial \Omega)$.

PROOF. – Let u_s be a mollification of u. Then it follows from Lemma A1 and from the lower semicontinuity of the total va-

riation

2

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(A15c)} \qquad & \int_{\Omega} (1+|Du|^2)^{1/2} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} (1+|D\min(u,k)|^2)^{1/2} \, dx \geqslant \\ & > \liminf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} (1+|Du_s|^2)^{1/2} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} (1+|D\min(u_s,k)|^2)^{1/2} \, dx \right\} \geqslant \\ & > \liminf \left\{ \int_{u_s > k} (1+|Du_s|^2)^{1/2} \, dx - |\{u_s > k\}| \right\} \geqslant \\ & > \liminf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |D\max(u_s - k,0)| \, dx - |\{u_s > k\}| \right\} \geqslant \\ & > \int_{\Omega} |D\max(u-k,0)| \, dx - |A(k)| \end{aligned}$$

by which the first relation is proved. To prove the second one, we use the triangle inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(A15d)} \qquad & \|\max(u-k,0) - (u-\min(u,k))\|_{L^{1}(\partial\Omega)} < \\ & < \|\max(u_{\varepsilon}-k,0) - (u_{\varepsilon}-\min(u_{\varepsilon},k))\|_{L^{1}(\partial\Omega)} + \\ & \|u_{\varepsilon}-u\|_{L^{1}(\partial\Omega)} + \|\min(u_{\varepsilon},k) - \min(u,k)\|_{L^{1}(\partial\Omega)} + \\ & \|\max(u_{\varepsilon}-k,0) - \max(u-k,0)\|_{L^{1}(\partial\Omega)} . \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right side of this inequality is identically zero, while the other ones converge to zero. This is a consequence of (A6), the proof of Lemma A2, and of the estimates

$$\int_{\Omega_{\delta}} |D \min (u_{\epsilon}, k)| \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega_{\delta}} |D u_{\epsilon}| \, dx$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega_{\delta}} |D \max (u_{\epsilon} - k, 0)| dx \leq \int_{\Omega_{\delta}} |Du_{\epsilon}| dx .$$

REMARK A1. – By the same method of proof one can show that

(A15e)
$$u - \min(u, v) = \max(u - v, 0)$$
 in $L^1(\partial \Omega)$

for any functions $u, v \in BV(\Omega)$.

Appendix II.

Here we want to prove that the functional J in (5) is lower semicontinuous with respect to a minimizing sequence in $BV(\Omega)$.

Let v_{ε} be a minimizing sequence and suppose for simplicity that $v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow v$ in $L^{1}(\Omega)$ (compare the considerations at the beginning of Section 2). Assume by contradiction that J(v) is strictly greater than $\liminf J(v_{\varepsilon})$. Then there exist a positive constant γ and a number ε_{0} such that

(A16)
$$J(v_s) \leqslant J(v) - \gamma \quad \forall \varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_0$$
.

In view of (40) we have the relation

(A17)
$$\int_{\partial\Omega} |v-v_{\varepsilon}| \, d\mathcal{Y}_{n-1} \leqslant \int_{\Omega_{\delta}} |D(v-v_{\varepsilon})| \, dx + c(\delta, \Omega) \cdot \int_{\Omega} |v-v_{\varepsilon}| \, dx \,,$$

where Ω_{δ} is a boundary strip of width δ , and δ is sufficiently small. Hence

m .

(A18)
$$\int_{\Omega - \overline{\Omega}_{\delta}} (1 + |Dv_{s}|^{2})^{1/2} dx + \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{v_{s}} H(x, t) dt dx \leq \int_{\Omega} (1 + |Dv|^{2})^{1/2} dx + \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{v} H(x, t) dt dx + \int_{\Omega_{\delta}} |Dv| dx + c(\delta, \Omega) \cdot \int_{\Omega} |v - v_{s}| dx - \gamma$$

If ε tends to zero, then we obtain in view of the lower semicontinuity of the integrals on the left side of (A18)

(A19)
$$\int_{\Omega-\overline{\Omega}_{\delta}} (1+|Dv|^2)^{1/2} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} (1+|Dv|^2)^{1/2} dx + \int_{\Omega_{\delta}} |Dv| dx - \gamma .$$

To complete the proof, we let δ converge to zero which gives the contradiction.

REMARK A2. – By the same method one could show that the functional

(A20)
$$\int_{\Omega} (1+|Dv|^2)^{1/2} dx + \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} H(x,t) dt dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} |v-f| d\mathcal{H}_{n-1},$$
$$f \in L^1(\partial\Omega),$$

is lower semicontinuous with respect to a minimizing sequence in $BV(\Omega)$ the elements of which are bounded in the norm

(A21)
$$\int_{\Omega} |Dv| \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |v| \, dx \, ,$$

provided that $\partial \Omega$ satisfies an internal sphere condition.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] P. CONCUS R. FINN, On a class of capillary surfaces, J. Analyse Math., 23 (1970), pp. 65-70.
- [2] P. CONCUS R. FINN, On capillary free surfaces in a gravitational field, Acta Math., 132 (1974), pp. 207-223.
- [3] J. DIEUDONNE, Eléments d'analyse, Paris, Gauthier-Villard, 1968.
- [4] M. EMMER, Esistenza, unicità e regolarità delle superfici di equilibrio nei capillari, Ann. Univ. Ferrara, Sez. VII. To appear.
- [5] E. GAGLIARDO, Caratterizzazioni delle tracce sulla frontiera relative ad alcune classi di funzioni in n variabili, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, 27 (1957), pp. 284-305.
- [6] C. GERHARDT, Hypersurfaces of prescribed mean curvature over obstacles, Math. Z., 133 (1973), pp. 169-185.
- [7] O. A. LADYZENSKAYA N. N. URALTSEVA, Local estimates for gradients of solutions of nonuniformly elliptic and parabolic equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 23 (1969), pp. 677-703.
- [8] U. MASSARI, Esistenza e regolarità di frontiere con curvatura media assegnata, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. To appear.
- [9] H. MINKOWSKI, Kapillarität, Encyklopädie der Mathematischen Wissenschaften V.1, pp. 559-613. Leipzig, Teubner, 1903-1921.
- [10] M. MIRANDA, Comportamento delle successioni convergenti di frontiere minimali, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, 38 (1967), pp. 238-257.
- J. NECAS, Les méthodes directes en théorie des équations elliptiques, Paris, Masson et C^{ie}, 1967.
- [12] L. SCHWARTZ, Théorie des distributions, Paris, Hermann, 1966.
- [13] J. SERRIN, The problem of Dirichlet for quasilinear differential equations with many independent variables, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. A, 264 (1969), pp. 413-496.
- [14] G. STAMPACCHIA, Equations elliptiques du second ordre à coefficients discontinus, Sem. Math. Sup., Université de Montréal, 1966.

Pervenuta alla Segreteria dell'U.M.I. il 15 settembre 1973

i contra postanta de la servición de la contra Contributo de la contra de la cont

the Alexan content for the tells of the Of the left many

an san an ta

- (c) Classifier R. (1983) the general equilibring confirmation for head ways Match. 23: 100 (equ. a) 751 (c).
- er och stör ster av stor av en prijstande procesarjaner är angereratar farar förtal. Socie var bör 1921 (1938–1938) soci och starsson.
 - lettet processe berddaead oleat wygeses breelette oorder aand digte
- (c) St. Subsect. For example, we be fit all supplies the deffer supply for a spatial set. (c) - applies to the fit of the fit of the subsect of the destermin.
- ja, an taona amin' diminin' na 1997 na Aonara San Farit (1997 na Aonard Aonar Aonard San Aonard Aonard Aonard Aonard Aonard Aonard Aonard Aonard Aonar 1997 ao ao amin' ao am
- รุ่งรู้ 11. มีราชชาตราย 11 กรุษค่ายรุ่ม 21 ธุรรม 493 ศ. มีราชชาตราย 14 **พร้าม**า ศศาร (ชาตราย) 1 1.661 - วิ.เ. ศักราช 1714 - 462 รูกการชาตราช 25
- is als its even it is not a such the difference is and all provides and a month of the second s
- [21] M. W. Kanel M. Kashishi Shapkani iso da Shi kumilikiri i Wisan datan Siloni o Astro forta Technic Weiller (1998).
 - (asthere) is its provide the providence of the second state of the providence of the second state of the providence of the second state of th
- (4) R. Karaka, Devine methods of the transfer day department of the department of the department of the department.
 - . 2000 and an E. Ann't an abhair a saolaí Afrainn Air (193
- (a) a det gans d'endere au en back ar groad bang aligner differentiet. ante annag d'e engager an deriver d'elle d'engan. Ben differe som A differentiet gan 400 diffe.
- (16) (1. Secondense Expension elliptication meaned andre ("reffictente discontrates Each Matthe Source University de Secondente.

Standards and the second se

Monograf" -Bologna -Via Collamarini 5